



DeKalb County Government

Manuel J. Maloof Center
1300 Commerce Drive
Decatur, Georgia 30030

Agenda Item

File ID: 2021-3015

Substitute

10/26/2021

Purchasing Type: Request for Proposals (RFP)

Public Hearing: YES NO

Department: Purchasing & Contracting

AGENDA ITEM:

Commission District(s): All

RFP - Request for Proposals No. 20-500550 Construction Management Services (Multiyear Contract): for use by the Department of Watershed Management (DWM). Consists of providing on-call construction management services that include pre-construction services, construction inspection, field services, management of meetings, production of progress reports and other related services for water and sewer projects. Recommend award to the three (3) highest scoring proposers: CGA Solutions [Joint Venture of Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC (CERM)/Gresham Smith/Atkins North America, Inc.], Tetra Tech, Inc., and EDT-Wade Trim-Reeves JV [Joint Venture of Engineering Design Technologies, Inc. (EDT)/Wade Trim, Inc./RK Reeves and Associates, Inc.]. Total Amount Not to Exceed \$24,743,700.00.

Information Contact: Cathryn G. Horner, Director

Phone Number: (404) 371 - 6334

PURPOSE:

Consists of providing on-call construction management services. This will include, but is not limited to, the following activities: pre-construction services, construction inspection, field services, management of meetings, production of progress reports and other related services for water and sewer projects within the County's Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). These contracts will assist DWM with meeting the demands of the County by providing construction management services for various CIP construction projects. Nine (9) proposals were received.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend award to the three (3) highest scoring proposers:

CGA Solutions [Joint Venture of Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC (CERM)/Gresham Smith/Atkins North America, Inc.], 1990 Lakeside Parkway Suite 300, Tucker, Georgia 30084

Note: Prime LSBE-DeKalb

LSBE Participation: 20%

Estimated Amount Not to Exceed: \$8,953,950.00

Tetra Tech, Inc., 1899 Powers Ferry Road, Suite 400, Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Note: LSBE Participation: 53%

Estimated Amount Not to Exceed: \$8,606,250.00



DeKalb County Government

Manuel J. Maloof Center
1300 Commerce Drive
Decatur, Georgia 30030

EDT-Wade Trim-Reeves JV [Joint Venture of Engineering Design Technologies, Inc. (EDT)/Wade Trim, Inc./RK Reeves and Associates, Inc.], 1705 Enterprise Way Suite 200, Marietta, Georgia 30067

Note: Prime LSBE-DeKalb

LSBE Participation: 2%

Estimated Amount Not to Exceed: \$7,183,500.00

Total Amount Not to Exceed: \$24,743,700.00

and authorize the Chief Executive Officer to execute the contracts and all associated documents.

Evaluation Committee Scores:

<u>Firm:</u>	<u>Score:</u>
Tetra Tech, Inc.	91 points
CGA Solutions Joint Venture [CERM/Gresham Smith/Atkins North America] EDT-Wade Trim-Reeves JV [Engineering Design Technologies, Inc. (EDT)/Wade Trim, Inc./RK Reeves and Associates, Inc.]	88 points
Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.	86 points
North American Pipeline Management (NAPM)	83 points
Arcadis U.S., Inc.	82 points
Volkert, Inc.	79 points
Black & Veatch, Inc.	79 points
Hellier Group, LLC	73 points
	69 points

After the evaluation of technical and cost proposals, the recommendation is to award to the three (3) highest scoring proposers.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

1. Contract Effective Date: Contract Execution
2. Contract Expiration Date: December 31, 2026
3. Funding: Renewal and Extension and/or Bonds
Various CIP Line Nos. (DWM)

AGENDA NOTES

Solicitation Name and Number	Construction Management Services (Multiyear Contract) RFP No. 20-5005550
Procurement Agent	Willie Moon
Date Solicitation Proposal Rates Expire	October 29, 2021
Solicitation Name, Number and Contract Number of expiring/expired contract	Construction Management Services RFP No. 16-500392 1043455 - Benchmark Management, LLC 1043467 - Tetra Tech, Inc 1043468 - Gresham Smith 1043470 - Prime Engineering, Inc 1043473 - Wood Environment Infrastructure Solutions, Inc 1043474 - Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC (CERM)
Previous Contract Number, Contractor Name and Award Amount	<p style="text-align: right;">\$32,268,660.35</p> 1043455 - Benchmark Management, LLC \$3,000,000.00 Initial Award \$2,200,000.00 Change Order No. 1 \$1,022,919.00 Change Order No. 2 1043467 - Tetra Tech, Inc. \$3,000,000.00 Initial Award \$1,088,000.00 Change Order No. 1 1043468 - Gresham Smith \$3,000,000.00 Initial Award \$2,700,000.00 Change Order No. 1 \$1,287,240.74 Change Order No. 2 1043470 – Prime Engineering, Inc. \$3,000,000.00 Initial Award \$925,106.50 Change Order No. 1 \$540,000.00 Change Order No. 2 1043473 – Wood Environment Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. \$3,000,000.00 Initial Award \$2,081,410.03 Change Order No. 1 \$ 878,373.10 Change Order No. 2 1043474 – Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC \$3,000,000.00 Initial Award \$1,545,611.55 Change Order No. 1

<p>Previous Amount Spent on Expiring/Expired Contract</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">\$25,432,558.75</p> <p>1043455 - Benchmark Management, LLC \$4,794,071.15</p> <p>1043467 – Tetra Tech, Inc. \$2,989,891.52</p> <p>1043468 – Gresham Smith \$5,748,014.25</p> <p>1043470 – Prime Engineering, Inc. \$3,465,316.75</p> <p>1043473 – Wood Environment Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. \$4,422,798.13</p> <p>1043474 – Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC \$4,012,466.95</p>
<p>Prime Contractor Information and LSBE – Subcontractor</p>	<p>Tetra Tech, Inc. (Prime) Lauren Springer, Vice President Years In Business: 21 Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 9</p> <p><u>Benchmark Management, LLC (LSBE MSA) 20%</u> Eskender Abebe, President 101 Marietta Street NS, Suite 2000, Atlanta, GA 30330 Years In Business: 18 Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 6 <i>Construction Management and Inspection</i></p> <p>References were favorable:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. City of Atlanta Department of Watershed Management 2. DeKalb County Department of Watershed Management 3. City of Atlanta Department of Aviation <p><u>Engineered Systems & Services, LLC (LSBE MSA) 3%</u> Johnathon Rucker, Principal 2950 Horizon Park Dr. Suite B Suwanee, GA 30024 Years In Business: 13 Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 0 <i>Construction Inspection</i></p> <p>References were favorable:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Jacobs 2. Sol Construction (2 References)

Graham & Associates (LSBE DeKalb) 25%

Angela L. Graham, President
1979 Lakeside Parkway, suite 180
Tucker, GA 30084
Years In Business: 21
Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 3
Construction Inspections, Construction Management
References were favorable:

1. CERM
2. Wood
3. Jacobs

VLW Group, LLC (LSBE DeKalb) 5%

Valister Wilson, President
125 E. Trinity Place, Suite 212
Decatur, GA 30030
Years In Business: 14
Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 0
Scheduler, Field Inspection, Document Controller
References were favorable:

1. Benchmark
2. Ruby Collins
3. Garney

CGA Solutions [Joint Venture of Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC (CERM)/Gresham Smith/Atkins] (Prime)

Albert G. Edwards, Managing Partner, CERM
Years In Business: 0
Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 0

Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC [CERM] (Prime LSBE-DeKalb)

Albert Edwards, Project Executive
Years In Business: 25
Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 18
References were favorable:

1. DeKalb County Department of Watershed Management (2 References)
2. Rockdale County

Gresham Smith

John W. Barlow, Senior Vice President
Years In Business: 53
Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 12
References were favorable:

1. DeKalb County Department of Watershed Management
2. Gwinnett County Department of Watershed Management
3. Metro Nashville Water Services

Atkins North America, Inc.

Taylor Right, Vice President
Years In Business: 47

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 11

References were favorable:

1. Fulton County Department of Public Works
2. City of Atlanta Department of Watershed Management
3. Gwinnett County Department of Water Resources

Graham & Associates, Inc. (LSBE DeKalb) 12%

Angela L. Graham, President
1979 Lakeside Parkway, Suite 180
Tucker, GA 30084

Years In Business: 21

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 10

Construction Management & Inspection

References were favorable:

1. Tetra Tech
2. Wood
3. Jacobs

Skyline Engineering & Construction, LLC (LSBE DeKalb) 8%

Dwayne E. Cheatom, Vice President
6755 Peachtree Industrial Boulevard, Suite 240
Atlanta, GA 30360

Years In Business: 15

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 3

Construction Management & Inspection

References were favorable with:

1. Reeves and Young, LLC
2. Engineered Systems & Services, LLC
3. GS Construction

EDT-Wade Trim-Reeves JV [Joint Venture of Engineering Design Technologies, Inc. (EDT)/Wade Trim, Inc./RK Reeves and Associates, Inc.] (Prime)

Fancis Kung'u, JV Principal

Years In Business: 0

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 0

Engineering Design Technologies, Inc. [EDT] (Prime LSBE-DeKalb)

Anthony Taylor, President/CEO

Years In Business: 28

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 18

References were favorable:

1. City of Atlanta Department of Watershed Management
2. Jefferson County, AL Environmental Services Department
3. Cobb County Water System

Wade Trim, Inc.

Andrew McCune, President/CEO

Years In Business: 3

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 2

References were favorable:

1. Great Lakes, MI Water Authority

2. Palm Bay, FL Utilities
3. Florida Governmental Utilities Authority

RK Reeves & Associates, Inc. (Prime LSBE DeKalb) 32%

Rebecca K. Reeves, President

Years In Business: 21

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 15

References were favorable:

1. Corporate Environmental Risk Management (CERM)
2. Gresham Smith
3. Brown and Caldwell
4. Tetra Tech
5. Black & Veatch

Accura Engineering and Consulting Services, Inc. (LSBE-DeKalb) 2%

Prashanthi Reddy, CEO

3200 Presidential Drive

Atlanta, GA 30340

Years In Business: 18

Years Doing Business With DeKalb: 16

SUE, Land Surveying, Inspections

References were favorable with:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
2. DeKalb County Department of Watershed Management
3. Atlas/Moreland Altobelli

Attachments

- User Department Recommendation
- Cumulative Score Report
- Evaluation Committee Review Summary

20-500550: Construction Management Services (Multiyear Contract)

User Department's Recommendation

User Department Name: Department of Watershed Management

Recommended Proposers:

Proposer 1: TetraTech, Inc. for \$8,953,950
Name/Amount

Proposer 2: CGA Solutions, CERM/Gresham Smith/Atkins (JV) for \$8,606,250
Name/Amount

Proposer 3: EDT/Wade Trim/Reeves JV for \$7,183,500
Name/Amount

Proposer 4: NA
Name/Amount

Proposer 5: NA
Name/Amount

Proposer 6: NA
Name/Amount

Funding:

General Enterprise 3 Digit Fund Code _____

CIP Line Item No. (if applicable): Various

Justification if recommendation of award is to a firm other than the highest scoring proposer:

The Department of Watershed Management (DWM) is recommending to award contracts to the three highest scored proposers to perform the specified scope of work within the contract term of 4 years.

The labor classifications and hours provided in the RFP represents the needs for the department in order to provide inspections and construction management services for the delivery of the new Capital Improvement Program and Consent Decree work.

Based on selecting three vendors, the proposed contract amounts for all vendors are shown below:

1. TetraTech, Inc. - Total recommended contract value of \$8,953,950 is based on a total bid price of \$26,861,850 divided by 3 which adjusts the estimated hours to 1/3rd of the amount shown in the RFP.
2. CGA Solutions, CERM/Gresham Smith/Atkins North America (Joint Venture) - Total recommended contract value of \$8,606,250 is based on a total bid price of \$25,818,750 divided by 3 which adjusts the estimated hours to 1/3rd of the amount shown in the RFP.
3. EDT/Wade Trim/Reeves JV, Engineering Design Technologies (Joint Venture) - Total recommended contract value of \$7,183,500 is based on a total bid price of \$21,550,500 divided by 3 which adjusts the estimated hours to 1/3rd of the amount shown in the RFP.

DWM recommendation herein is based on the administrative reviews including verifying references, cost evaluation, providing history of similar projects, and overall qualifications of the companies.

Cassandra
Marshall, PMP
Name, Title

Digitally signed by Cassandra Marshall, PMP
DN: cn=Cassandra Marshall, PMP, o=Watershed
Management, ou=DeKalb County Government,
email=cmarshall@dekalbcountyga.gov, c=US
Date: 2021.10.18 09:15:20 -0400

10/18/21
Date

David Hayes
Department Director

10/18/21
Date



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING

RFP Number: 20-500550
 RFP Name: Construction Management
 (Multiyear Contract)

CUMULATIVE SCORE REPORT

Opening: 2-24-21 @ 3:00 PM
 Agent: Willie Moon, Procurement Agent,
 Senior

Criteria	Max Points Available	Vendors								
		Arcadis	Black & Veatch	CGA	EDT-Wade-Trim	Hellier	NAPM	Tetra Tech	Volkert	Wood
Firm Experience and Qualifications	20	16.6	14.6	18.8	18.2	11.2	17.8	19.2	15.8	18.2
Construction Technical Management Approach	20	17.0	17.2	18.8	17.6	11.8	16.8	19.4	17.6	17.6
Experience and Qualifications of Staff	30	22.4	20.8	27.2	23.8	15.8	25.0	29.0	23.6	25.2
Cost	20	15.0	13.9	13.4	16.0	20.0	12.1	12.9	11.7	13.1
DeKalb First Ordinance Participation	Utilizes a LSBE DeKalb	10	7.5	7.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Utilizes a LSBE MSA	5								
	Made a Good Faith Effort to obtain LSBE	2								
Interview (Bonus Points)	10									
Total Points	110	79	74	88	86	69	82	90	79	84

Number of Responses Received: 9

Recommend award to the three (3) highest scoring proposers:

1. Tetra Tech, Inc., 1899 Powers Ferry Road, Suite 400, Atlanta, Georgia 30339
2. CGA Solutions Joint Venture [CERM/Gresham Smith/Atkins], 1990 Lakeside Parkway Suite 300, Tucker, Georgia 30084
3. EDT-Wade Trim-Reeves JV [Engineering Design Technologies, Inc. (EDT)/Wade Trim, Inc./RK Reeves and Associates, Inc.], 1705 Enterprise Way Suite 200, Marietta, Georgia 30067



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING

RFP Number: 20-500550
 RFP Name: Construction Management (Multiyear Contract)

EVALUATION SUMMARY

Opening: 2-24-21 @ 3:00 PM
 Agent: Willie Moon, Procurement Agent, Senior

Criteria	Vendors								
	Arcadis	Black & Veatch	CGA	EDT-Wade-Trim	Hellier	NAPM	Tetra Tech	Volkert	Wood
Firm Experience and Qualifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The prime contractor seems to have experience with water infrastructure work - primarily plant work. Experience staff (plenty of staff available) Extensive experience with DeKalb projects. Downside is that experience is heavier on plant side rather than linear projects. Arcadis submitted information indicates that they have a lot of experience. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The prime contractor seems to have experience with water infrastructure work - no linear, CIPP, etc. Good Staff and approach to project Current on-call engineering firm for Watershed. The highlighted projects are ok but in some cases don't match our needs. Didn't see any references to Tank painting. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The firm shows experience in all required areas of interest outlined in the RFP. Good experience on similar projects Gresham Smith has DeKalb, Gwinnett and Fulton construction management experience. Good sample of projects that are similar to DWM's expected work. Bidder submitted information indicates that they have a lot of experience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Matrix shows the firm has experience in all of the areas. Good description of Job duties Reeves has past or present construction management role with DeKalb Watershed. Matrix is strong and shows extensive CM and inspections. The joint venture seems to be a partnership of contractors that has meet the criteria that the County is asking for 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Didn't see any experience with inspections of CIPP work. Plenty of experience in all aspects of the contract Not clear what if any water and sewer related experience Hellier actually has. Firm experience is not strong. They did not list some of the requested information such as project milestones 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The firm NAPM has limited experience with construction management but is a contractor and should have experience in effectively managing a contract. Good Staff Not Over Educated Not clear how much experience NAPM has with construction management on water and sewer projects Firm experience is relatively strong. Good Team. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Matrix shows the firm has experience in all areas including Tank painting Good experience in the type of work in this RFP Current CM services contract with DWM. The highlighted five projects are representative of expected work Tetra Tech has a strong team that seems to meet the criteria of the RFP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The firm has very solid experience and expertise. Didn't see any CIPP similar projects for inspectors or construction managers Good Company all around Volkert and subs all have relevant experience either locally or elsewhere. Some construction administration but doesn't demonstrate extensive or convincing Construction Management experience in water and wastewater. Volkert have experience provided design and construction admin services on th Rockbridge Road project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Experience matrix covered all areas throughout team members. Expertise mostly in pump stations but no point repair work provided. Wood has worked on many similar projects in DeKalb and on similar projects in nearby counties and cities. The highlighted five projects are representative of expected work. Wood has experience in a multitude of water and sewer projects for inspections and CCTV Assessments, CIPP, point repairs, pipe bursting
Construction Technical Management Approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly defined roles. Love the fact the CM has a contractor background. Good Approach to managing project Only one construction manager listed. Not clear what the difference is between project manager and construction manager. Approach is good and well thought out; although fairly standard and not innovative in any way. They plan to created shared understanding of the goals of the project with all stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not sure if they understand the depth of resources requirement nor the primary needs of Watershed. Good resources. Do not talk about communication with DeKalb doing projects Little discussion of communications strategy. Construction management approach is thorough and complete. Didn't mentioned services provided during pre-bid conferences, questions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plan was pretty solid on planning, executing, monitoring and controlling and project closeout. Good approach on managing and keeping DWM informed Notes the need to adjust resources to meet current needs in program. CM approach is sound, understands the requirements of the project. Good Approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Completed but I'm not sure if the firm understands the depth of resources of what is required. Good Management approach for coordination and communications Very dependent on two people. Organization below them is unclear although staff are listed by specific functional area. Expertise mostly in pump stations but no point repair work provided. Good Team solid response 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delineation of roles assigned to team members was completed but not much effort put into the RFP. Straight forward approach, though almost vague Brief and fairly generic. Good communication matrix Doesn't convey a wealth of experience in Construction management or inspection Needed more detail on experience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Built a team with a lot of construction background which is a huge plus. Good Management approach Proposing that Lee Orr and Robert McPherson be co-leaders and central points of contact. Approach is only ok. Doesn't identify any resources, only says they have them. Good management approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very good approach and coordination plan provided. Good approach to project management Well organized description of project approach showing the proposed roles of each sub Construction Management approach is very good. Addresses each of the requirements. Good Team. The learning curve should be shortsince some of the contractors is already working with the County 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approach was well defined by utilizing local resources and expertise. Good staff and experience especially in field personnel Detailed but fairly generic description of approach. Construction Management approach is good. Good Team solid response. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The construction management approach was ok - pretty standard. Good management approach but did not say exactly meeting schedule Concise description of their construction management approach. Construction Management approach is very good. I like thier approach and Org chart.
Experience and Qualifications of Staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cannot tell which team members outside of Graham who have the required certifications. Lots of staff. Engineer not registered All major participants have extensive relevant experience. 5 of the 9 inspector's experience is primarily with material testing, not water or wastewater work. None of the nine have any CIPP experience Submitted information indicates that they have the required experience and has assembled a successful team 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full resumes provided. Overall staff was pretty weak. Lack of stating certifications Contract manager and two of four construction managers show no experience on any of the reference projects listed in the staff experience matrix. The proposed staff's experience in similar work performing CM and inspection is very weak. Didn't see MACP, PACP certifications for inspectors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The team is staffed with experienced leaders currently working with DeKalb DWM Good experience with technical staff Project manager - has 27 years experience. Oversees current DeKalb construction management The Sewer CM has no water or sewer experience showing; appears to be strictly DOT experience. Proposer has good experience and management plan. Inspectors have PACP and MACP certifications. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction managers had little relevant experience. No water or sewer pipeline work (per the staff matrix). Good staff plenty of education Dorsey El Amin is construction manager and has DeKalb experience. Good to see focus on the change management plan. Over average proposal submitted.No mention of tans 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good qualified inspectors and managers, but not much experience, not alot of higher education Project Manager Fran Limieux doesn't have watershed related experience PM has no water or ww experience No wastewater experience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The team has a extensive background in construction Resumes short but to the point, plent of experienced personnel No specific past projects listed for Lee Orr, program manager. CM (Steele) shows CM and inspection for Dekalb but doesnt show specific project types, footages, water sewer etc. Over all good team cover all of ther requirements in the solicitation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good qualified staff both at management level and field level Good chart showing certifications of key staff. Inspector resumes are strong in all aspects of water and ww inspection Expertise mostly in pump stations but no point repair work provided. Overall good team 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good experience with DWM projects Many proposed staff with relevant experience. Construction Management approach is good. As a whole, the staff is not strong in water and ww construction inspection. Over proposals didn't seem to as experience in construction than they did in roads. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good experienced staff not over educated Expertise mostly in pump stations but no point repair work provided. Project manager and most key staff have relevant DeKalb DWM. Staff experience is relatively strong. Construction managers are not strong. Although the proposal mentions that thier multiple certifications focused on NPDES, I only saw one inspector that had NASCO, PACP, MACP certifications.
Financial Responsibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very strong balance sheets. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very strong balance sheets. Did not submit cash flow statements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corporate Environmental Risk Mgmt., LLC; Gresham Smith & Atkins North America submitted a proposal as a joint venture. Corporate Environmental, although "small", looks okay. Positive they were organized in 1995. Gresham's balance sheet looks okay. They did not submit income or cash flow statements. Atkins North America has strong financials. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good set of financials. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did not submit Financials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financials are okay 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very Strong Financials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong financials. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very strong financials
DeKalb First Ordinance Participation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points LSBE DeKalb LSBE MSA Total LSBE Points: 7.5 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points LSBE DeKalb LSBE MSA Total LSBE Points: 7.5 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points LSBE DeKalb LSBE MSA Total LSBE Points: 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points LSBE DeKalb LSBE MSA Total LSBE Points: 10