

RFQ	2025-038	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	American Engineers, Inc.	# of Evaluators	4
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Experienced Team, lowest experience is 16 years, Bicycle Ped and Roadway Lead have 25 years experience. PM has 29 years experience. PIC has 27 years experience. 12-39+ yrs of collective experience in civil engineering, project management and design of trails, greenways, and various transportation infrastructure improvements. They each boast a diverse portfolio of projects similar to North Fork Peachtree with an extensive list of trail-specific projects. Team has previously worked together on projects. All Teams met GDOT Prequalifications.</p>			
Resources Availability and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Prime and subs have established relationships. PM is good, KTLs have 80% availability. PM has over 80% availability, PIC near 100%, all others mostly over 80% availability.</p>			
RFQ	2025-038	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	# of Evaluators	4
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Diverse background with various standards including GDOT, consider other viewpoints of industry design standards. Four projects were very similar trails to the request. South Fork Peachtree Trail was indicated as similar project, very relevant to this request. Boasts experience working on S. Fork Peachtree Trail so have direct experience with a project of this type. Experience ranges from 9 yrs-31+yrs (number of years not listed for PM). Wraparound approach with Key Team Leaders with different area of focus including Ped/Bicycle design, Roadway design, Bridge design, and Environmental design. Excellent job highlighting awards and accolades, proving recent and historic timely completion of projects as well as innovation to aid in avoiding project delays.</p>			
Resources availability and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Lots of stability in the PM and KTLs- worked together on similar projects. PM and KTLs have availability. Directly relevant projects with PDP experience. DBEs: SEI (Survey) & R2T(Erosion Control/Hydrology)</p>			
RFQ	2025-038	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.	# of Evaluators	4
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>Project Manager & Principle In Charge: Jimmy Garrison, PE 39 years experience with strong relevant experience (includes over 100 miles of federal, state, and local (SPLOST) and other municipal roadway design projects; 51 miles of which were roadway widening and reconstruction, 50 intersection improvement projects , three interchange projects, 55 miles of pedestrian safety, trails, and bicycle facility projects, and several minor single-span bridge and large culvert replacement projects) Lots of GDOT PDP and similar project experience. KTL: Roadway/Pedestrian/Bicycle: Kelsey Black, PE. 12 years experience. Lots of relevant experience listed. Good experience, but missed opportunity to bring in an additional KTL focusing on multiuse trails. Bridge Design: George Manning, PE. 25 years experience. Lots of relevant experience listed. Environmental: Josh (Robert) Everhart 16 years. Edwards Pittman. Lots of relevant experience listed. T&H has multiple contracts with multiple clients for limited scope concept reports for multiuse trails as noted on page 13. All seven are GDOT PDP projects. Three of the four highlighted projects have the same PM and KTL as proposal. GDOT Prequalification requirements met.</p>			
Resources availability and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Four trail projects completed by team in the past 2.5 years. Time commitment and capacity for the work is good for PM and most of the team leads. Worked together on nine similar projects and have long standing relationships with Subs. PM and KTLs have availability. Lots of directly relevant projects with PDP experience.</p>			

RFQ	2025-038	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>Highlighted aesthetics being in right context, corridor but sensitive to neighbors. Mentioned adjacent to sewer infrastructure, be adjacent to easements already cleared. Construction access - could be spur path to properties - public outreach would be handy to discuss with neighbors - QA/QC mentioned briefly. Top-down construction process.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>Current work in progress relates to the current request. Two (2) references responded with overall Excellent score and would do business with them again, although work is still in progress.</p>			
RFQ	2025-038	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	American Engineers, Inc.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Started with discussing floodzones and wetlands then turned generic. Didn't call out anything unique to the project. Future maintenance was considered for best practice moving forward for sustainability.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>Two (2) references were sought, only one responded with Excellent. In progress work with DeKalb County has been great to work with thus far.</p>			
RFQ	2025-038	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Kimley-Horn & Associates		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>Only responder to provide CPTED experience, spoke about vegetation not blocking site lines as well as safety, only firm to mention MARTA bus routes, provided graphic with visualization of specific challenges, resourceful in stakeholder outreach and potential partners. key in collaboration. Spoke about nullifying impacts to residents and costs in construction.</p>			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Relevant experience with DeKalb County has been positive and recurring, have worked directly with several members of the proposed Team. Reference provided was indicated as excellent although design work was completed but project on hold due to no fault of their own.</p>			