

RFQ	RFQ-2025-113	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	AtkinsRealis USA, Inc.		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Excellent
clear project understanding of scope, mitigation of impediments to the project, did mention public engagement- highlighted importance, in environmental spoke about specific creatures and noted that the "bats" were already identified, liked land acquisition agents were going to be involved in design process as cost mitigation up front and same with the constructability with their CEI, only firm to mention driveway profiles/impacts, only firm to mention groomed landscaping that would have to be mindful of, highlighted relationships with subs and worked previously together, seemed to do their homework, path connectivity, good understanding of project to see through to completion, primary community goals laid out up front and ensure understanding of project, focusing ROW impacts to prevent delays and other entities and high costs, ADA upgrades is key, cost savings for utilities up front can assist in cost savings			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
have been design, very steady and year's of experience with DeKalb for various projects, all positive experience in working with the firm, had Vincent start at a lower position and has worked up to Project Manager, chain of continuity			
RFQ	RFQ-2025-113	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Lowe Engineers, LLC		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Excellent
detailed management process, project execution plan and quality control process, plan to mitigate challenges, new connections to park/trail, 8 ft wide sidewalk that could be path, no other thought about, use of diagram to utilize into project, how laid out with crossing focused on sides that needed repair/replacement, very innovative in approach, cost savings by reducing signal,mitigation boxes, challenge next to unique proposition, to show that they are owning the project, public outreach was minimal more like checkbox requirement and expediting NEPA resource, cost savings mentioned in reference backs up unique approach to upfront cost savings			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
City engineers for Brookhaven, work for a lot of cities, have worked with in that capacity but no recent project in providing direct services. Reference obtained was from a former DeKalb employee (left at least 1+ years ago) so comfortable with having no conflict in receiving the reference.			
RFQ	RFQ-2025-113	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Kimley-Horn		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Excellent
liked figure of existing conditions and challenges faced during project, did initial site assessment and manageable, in-house capabilities to save time on project, community safety, community involvement and mitigation of mistakes with County and utility companies, discussed gaps in existing, guise of pedestrian users for aesthetics, ADA for sidewalks and driveways, requested enhanced and suggested speaking to community about desires, detailed in different areas of approach, use of multiple task orders, ways to mitigate stretched staff, potential for significant redevelopment, visual graphics were great, projects that are technical more appealing, several mitigation solutions, very creative in obsolete lanes to repurpose			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
one reference was received and ranked excellent, current on-call vendor with County with multiple years of providing services over various projects			

RFQ	RFQ-2025-113	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	American Engineers, Inc. dba STV Services		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate
provided unique management plan with software use, mentioned resiliency review, really thinking about future use, anticipated air quality and noise analysis for a sidewalk project was questionable, not sure why mentioned bridge project, mentioned pedestrian bridge, approach seemed to reference a different project, experience technical team list did not reference any in-depth knowledge of the project, PM plan (another form of QA/QC), proven results box was not a good example, color coded QC system, generic approach but environmental piece set them apart			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
work with STV has been good, currently A/E vendor for STV, 3 references were received and ranked excellent			
RFQ	RFQ-2025-113	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Southeastern Engineering		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate
demonstrated knowledge of project area, discussed mid-block crossing, emphasized safe pedestrian corridor, looked at lighting, made suggestion of stand-alone pedestrian bridge, use of experience firm (VHB), limited QA/QC descriptions, only proposal that did not mention ADA standards, adopt-a-sidewalk program - mention of MARTA connections and multimodality, generic in approach			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Adequate
referenced project was from 2014-2016, no other references were obtained, reference is dated			
RFQ	RFQ-2025-113	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	EFK Moen		
Technical Approach		Assigned Rating	Adequate
firm identified key factors that would impact design/construction, did preliminary site inspection, roadway design identifying what was in compliance and what needed to be addressed, preliminary sewer database with drone LIDAR technology to establish early construction limits to save on project design, summarized five areas, relied heavily on KHA to perform initial work, Teachy PM has experience with GDOT, roadway minimum sidewalk width and buffer, why would focus be on the minimum requirement, location of wells to save money, RFFB or HICWB (older term), should no that RFFB is not functional for this project.			
Past Performance		Assigned Rating	Excellent
have had third-party experience with working, have reviewed some of the traffic studies for other clients, 3 references were received all ranked excellent			