

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING
EVALUATION SUMMARY

Criteria					
Proposers	Technical Approach	Project Management	Personnel	Organizational Qualifications	DeKalb First Ordinance Participation
Arcadis US Inc.	- o Met all requirements. - o understood that design efforts need to be scaled and tailored to fit the size and scope of a variety of transportation and infrastructure projects- local or federal \$. - o The proposed approach is thorough, logically structured, and aligned with all stated project objectives. - o Detailed response outlining procedures and methods. for various projects.	- o Met all requirements. - o Strong PM with lots of similar experience on on-call design projects and GDOT projects. - o Robust management framework with clearly defined leadership roles, reporting lines, and decision-making protocols. - o Will assign specific QC Task Managers for projects.	- o Met all requirements. - o History of working together- not just on this proposal. - o All proposed personnel have direct, extensive experience delivering comparable projects. - o Resumes for all project leads included.	- o Met all requirements. - o Lots of similar design experience for all kinds of design projects that we may need. - o Organization demonstrates proven capacity with verifiable success on multiple projects of similar size/scope. - o Wealth of experience in both DeKalb and other municipalities (60 years).	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 10
AtkinsRealis US Inc.	- o Responder addressed all criteria. - o Only firm to mention Davis Bacon & Buy America in CEI. - o The proposed approach is thorough, logically structured, and aligned with all stated project objectives. - o Clearly articulated each section of their technical approach.	- o Had lot of experience on projects and staff. - o Lots of similar experience. - o Clear organizational chart and reasonable management processes did not go into a lot of detail. - o Very well organized section again listing all required information.	- o The Proposer provided the required information. - o Strong team. Resumes provided. - o All proposed personnel have direct, extensive experience delivering comparable projects. - o Wealth of experience with DeKalb highlighting the PM's experience.	- o Responder addressed all criteria. Years of experience. Longevity. - o #8 in Transportation on ENR, #20 Overall, 400 employees in ATL, depth, Prequals provided - o Established organization with relevant, successful project history of similar size/scope with 5 on-call projects and program manager for DeKalb. - o Great qualifications.	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 10
Bridgefarmer & Assoc Inc.	- o Procedures and methods described well. Table of contents provided. Hastily put together. - o Liked that they noted hard ROW parcels to acquire and starting with those. - o Methods reflect innovative problem-solving and best practices. - o Procedures and methods described well. Table of contents provided. Hastily put together.	- o PM is a sub hindering QA/QC - o PM: Teresa Smith, P.E. with SUB A&S Engineering. PM is a SUB. SUBS: A&S Engineering, Accura, Metro Trafix, Douglas Ogello, THC, R2T - o PM not prime. Clear organizational chart and reasonable management processes. - o Using lessons learned in other markets, cites Aurora Colorado, Alameda California, San Diego California where they deployed full 911 emergency ambulance systems	- o Hastily put together - Resumes at very end of proposal. - o Org Chart provided. - o All proposed personnel have direct, extensive experience delivering comparable projects. - o PM is a sub hindering QA/QC	- o Experience of prime seems to be heavily reliant on subs. - o Seems like experience is with larger projects only. No mention if the PM worked on this one with Bridgewater. - o Established organization with relevant, successful project history, no similar on-call projects listed. - o Experience of prime seems to be heavily reliant on subs.	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 10

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING

EVALUATION SUMMARY

International Design Services Inc.	- o Met requirements but need more information on their experience. - o Proposal very hard to read. Had to search for items to give credit in scoring. Very generic. - o Proposal reflects limited understanding of scope. Execution steps are vague or incomplete. - o Would rather project experience be located in organizational qualifications section.	- o Appears subs were more experience and will be leading the project. - o All experience seems to be larger projects- no on-call. - o Structure lacks clarity or depth. Reporting is irregular or undefined. Subcontractor/vendor information is incomplete. - o Not a very well organized section.	- o Majority of personnel have relevant, recent experience. - o Resumes are included that highlight measurable achievements that generally aligned with scope. - o Did not clearly define all roles of team members. - o Progress reporting procedures for the project - not found.	- o Would like to see more on call experience but did have qualifications. - o GDOT pre-qualification for some but not all necessary service areas. - o More on call experience necessary. Did not document everything very well. - o No dates on projects.	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 10
Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.	- o Knows the difference between a county project and GDOT project requirements. - o The proposed approach is thorough, logically structured, and aligned with all stated project objectives. - o Methods reflect innovative problem-solving and best practices. - o Well organized proposal.	- o Lots of on-call experience. - o Robust management framework with clearly defined leadership roles, reporting lines, and decision-making protocols. - o Progress reporting system includes regular status updates, milestone reviews, and exception handling.	- o Clearly states some of the resources (personnel, equipment, software, facilities) with assurance they are available and sufficient for delivery. - o All proposed personnel have direct, extensive experience delivering comparable projects. - o Resumes are specific, detailed, and highlight measurable achievements aligned with the scope. - o Clear role assignments with justification for each person's inclusion.	- o Organization demonstrates proven capacity with verifiable success on multiple projects of similar size/scope. - o GDOT pre-qualification documentation for most relevant areas is complete. - o Only mentions past 3 year compliance record — no debarments or suspensions. - o Over 5 years in continuous operation under current name.	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 9.38
KCI Technologies Inc.	- o Understands local vs federal \$ and impacts. - o The proposed approach is thorough, logically structured, and aligned with all stated project objectives. - o Schedule is logical with minimal gaps but may not capture all dependencies. - o Risks are anticipated, with mitigation plans in place.	- o Clear organizational chart and reasonable management processes. - o Progress reporting system includes regular status updates. - o Subcontractor/vendor roles generally defined but not deeply detailed. - o All proposed personnel have direct, extensive experience delivering comparable projects.	- o Resumes are specific, detailed, and highlight measurable achievements aligned with the scope. - o Did not clearly define the roles of the team members. - o Subcontractors and outside experts have equally strong credentials. - o Good org chart.	- o Organization demonstrates proven capacity with verifiable success on multiple projects of similar size/scope. - o GDOT pre-qualification documentation for all relevant areas is complete. - o Strong compliance record — no debarments or suspensions. - o Over 5 years in continuous operation under current name.	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 0

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING**EVALUATION SUMMARY**

Kimley-Horn & Associates Inc.	- o Very detailed - o Liked they could scale projects based on funding type. - o Understood all modes of transportation. - o Design to budget- reducing costs and impacts. - o Clearly designated the lead for each phase.	- o Unique project resource management- cast aheads. - o Clear organizational chart and reasonable management processes. - o Progress reporting system includes regular status updates. - o Subcontractor/vendor roles generally defined but not deeply detailed. All proposed personnel have direct, extensive experience delivering comparable projects. Resumes are specific, detailed, and highlight measurable achievements aligned with the scope. Subcontractor and outside experts have equally strong credentials.	- o All proposed personnel have direct, extensive experience delivering comparable projects. - o Resumes are specific, detailed, and highlight measurable achievements aligned with the scope. - o Subcontractor and outside experts have equally strong credentials. - o Highlighted employee retention.	- o Organization demonstrates proven capacity with verifiable success on 13 on-call projects of similar size/scope. - o GDOT pre-qualification documentation for all relevant areas is complete with most areas covered by prime. - o Strong compliance record — no debarments or suspensions. - o Over 5 years in continuous operation under current name (since 1967).	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 10
Lowe Engineers LLC	- o Methods reflect innovative problem-solving and best practices. - o Clear, detailed division of responsibilities between County and Responder. - o Schedule is a granular task-level milestone chart showing dependencies but hard to read. - o Well organized but rushed.	- o Project manager is in full time roll managing Stonecrest. - o Clear organizational chart and reasonable although generic management processes. - o Progress reporting system includes regular status updates. - o Subcontractor/vendor roles generally defined but not deeply detailed.	- o All proposed personnel have direct experience delivering some comparable projects. - o Resumes are specific, detailed, and highlight measurable achievements aligned with the scope. - o Did not provide clear detailed role assignments. - o Clear Quality Management System (QMS).	- o Organization demonstrates they appear weaker in some areas. - o Has 20 on-call contracts listed. GDOT pre-qualification documentation for most relevant areas is complete. - o Strong compliance record — no debarments or suspensions. - o Over 5 years in continuous operation under current name (27 years).	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 10
Pond & Company	- o Very easy to read, well organized proposal. - o Liked the scaling of projects- local/federal. - o Liked the acknowledgement of the link between transportation, livability and economic development- big picture stuff. - o Liked the Risk Register.	- o Key points highlighted well - o Vendor submitted all required information. - o Everyone seems to be a tack order manager. - o Convoluted hierarchy.	- o Lots of similar experience listed in resumes- would have like to see that outlined more in the proposal. - o Did not detail personnel experience outside resumes. - o Did not provide clear role assignments with justification for each person's inclusion.	- o Organization demonstrates proven capacity with verifiable success on a few projects of similar size/scope including 6 on-call projects. - o GDOT pre-qualification documentation for all relevant areas is complete. - o Strong compliance record — no debarments or suspensions. - o Over 5 years in continuous operation under current name (since 1965).	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 0

Solicitation No. 2025-059-RFP
On-Call Engineering and Design Services for PW-T & Infrastructure

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING
EVALUATION SUMMARY

Prime Engineering Inc.	- o The proposed approach is OK and aligned with all most project objectives. - o Good pre-project planning but not very detailed step through the project development stages. - o Schedule is a granular task-level Gantt or milestone chart showing dependencies, critical path, and mostly realistic durations for each phase. - o Risks are anticipated, with mitigation plans in place	- o Lots of on-call experience. - o SFA not GDOT prequalified and doing bridges. - o Groundhawk not GDOT prequalified and doing SUE. - o Could not use these vendors on federally funded projects.	- o In-house experience a little less than others. - o Subs not prequalified for certain areas - o Subcontractor/vendor roles generally defined not sure of working project experience with responder.	- o Organization demonstrates proven capacity with verifiable success on a few projects of similar size/scope including 3 on-call projects. - o GDOT pre-qualification documentation for all relevant areas is complete prime not qualified in many areas. - o Strong compliance record — no debarments or suspensions. - o Over 5 years in continuous operation under current name (since 1990).	Good Faith Efforts: o Total LSBE Points: 8.75
------------------------	---	--	--	---	--