

**RFP 23-500642, Redan Road Corridor Scoping Study - Evaluation Summary**

Criteria	KCI Technologies	Pond & Company
<b>Schedule - (10)</b>	Detailed schedule shows completion in 9 months. The narrative discusses coordination with the county and expected turnaround times. Describes their Quality Assurance process. No examples of similar work product.	Proposed schedule calls for completion in 12 months with monthly project meetings. Could have provided more detail.  Taking a year to conduct the study seems to be a little long.
<b>Project Approach - (30)</b>	<p>Provided a detailed project approach with six tasks, but description starts out with task 3. Task 2 is listed after task 3. Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the project and project area. List the expected roles of all subs. This sect. could be better organized.</p> <p>Do not see the procedures for the project progress reporting or how will this process be handled. Do not see how they will manage or organize the project. Do not list the Counties responsibilities required to complete the job.</p>	<p>Provided pictures and discussion of features that are issues and opportunities along the corridor. Provided a very thorough narrative of how they will approach each of the tasks in the scope. Provided a list of county and Pond responsibilities.</p> <p>Pond has an On-line interactive map. The consultant should share the responsibility of advertising the public meetings without being an additional fee/charge. Does not show the project schedule at the task level with the receipt of the NTP and ending with project completion. County's Responsibilities: The client is responsible for paying all permitting fees associated with obtaining a permit for construction, unless otherwise negotiated with the Consultant team. Pond's Responsibility: Notify the County if a delay is imminent or if any issues arise with the design of a project that changes the ability of the Consultant to meet the Country's goals or will add previously unanticipated cost to the County's project.</p>
<b>References - (10)</b>	Firm provided the required number of references, two (2) for the Prime and one (1) for the Project Manager. Reference were favorable	Firm provided the required number of references, two (2) for the Prime and one (1) for the Project Manager. Reference were favorable
<b>Statement of Qualifications / Organizational Chart / GDOT Pre-Qualifications / DBE Requirements - (30)</b>	The team consists of 10 firms. KCI has previously partnered with all 9 subs. Andrew Antweiler is the project manager. He is currently managing a similar study on North Druid Hills Road. KCI is GDOT prequalified in all required areas except 106(f) and 106(g). Expired in May. They submitted for renewal in April. Subs cover remaining area classes 106(f) and 106(g). Proposed 38.3% DBE. Org chart includes use of key staff for KCI and subs. does not list percentage of time to be allocated to this project.	The team consists of 5 firms. Richard Fangmann is the project manager. Provided a DBE Goals form. Pond is GDOT prequalified in all required areas except 106(b), 1069) and 106(g). No documenttion provided of ICF prequalification for these areas. Proposed 30% DBE. Org chart includes use of key staff for Pond and subs. Does not list percentage of time to be allocated to this project.

	KCI Technologies	Pond & Company
<b>Experience - (20)</b>	Notes: Is currently performing a similar study on North Druid Hills Road. Has performed similar services for Gwinnett County and multiple cities and CID's. Listed 4 projects and described their relevancy to this project. Loganville Traffic Study and Gwinnett Count SR 124 concept report are the most relevant.	Has performed similar scoping studies in multiple other metro Atlanta counties. Project Manager Richard Fangmann was involved in many of these projects, as were other key team members.