

RFP Number: 17-500429

Agent: Willie Moon

Project Name: Design Build Service For The Gravity Sewer Rehabilitation, Replacement and Construction Project

Department: Purchasing & Contracting

Prepared By: Lorenzo Presley On **March 17, 2017**

| Company | Contact # | Contact Person | Receive bid? Yes/No | Misc. Notes New Contact Address Information | Non-Response Justification | Bid in Future |
|-------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| Instaform | 404-466-8609 | Gary Shutts | Yes | N/A | The scope did not favor us in this RFP due to the capacity increases. | Yes absolutely. We are very much looking forward to acquiring some projects for DeKalb County. |
| Layne | 648-735-0033 | Carl Smith | Yes | N/A | Several factors, 1. Most of the "replace" scope is high risk, next to lakes, buildings and deep, some require DOT permits, some will require easements for realignment, which create significant hurdles out of the DB firm's control. 2. We felt that we could not convey all of the risk and submit an achievable schedule, given all the unknowns that were out of our control. 3. Unclear of how we would partner with our LSBE as a MPP and meet all the requirements of the RFP as it pertains to the requirement of 3 quotes for sub work. | Yes, we look forward to working with DeKalb. |
| Garney Companies | 404-971-2227 | Eric Malvin | Yes | N/A | They have had some issues with a honey creek contract and they wanted to resolve those issues before they bid on another project. | Yes |
| John D Stephens, Inc. | 770-972-8000 | Steven Archer | Yes | N/A | The Scope of this particular project did not fit our current workload and schedule. | Yes we will bid on future solicitations if the scope fits our schedule and work load. We have more interest in the large trunk lines as it fits our strengths better than the smaller diameter point repair work. |
| Results Summary: | All of the companies in the survey responded. Two of the vendors said the scope of work wasn't advantageous for them. One vendor had some issues with another county contract that they rectifying. The last vendor thought it was too risky in some areas they had to perform in. | | | | | |