

RFP No. 21-500596
Consent Decree (CD): On Call Engineering Services – Trunk Sewer Design (Multiyear Contract)

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING
EVALUATION SUMMARY

Criteria				
Proposers	Project Approach	Organizational Qualifications and Team Experience	Project Personnel	DeKalb First Ordinance Participation
Arcadis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Acadis provided the excellent summary of how to manage the projecto I don't feel they answered a and b as requested.o Meets all the requirements for this criteriao Proposer didn't do a good job describing how the the project will be designed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Completed trench-type ww design and construction 10+ projects with the capacity upto 330 MGD (PS Ref ; 210, 25, 8 MGDo I don't see a direct response to the information being requestedo Met every point on score card.o Missing - Firm(s) and Key Personnel that participated in project and are included in this Proposal.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o PM has 14+ years experience(12,18,30,38,40 MGD) without Trench-type WW experienceo provided all the information requested for key personnel, availability, org chart, etc.o Met every point on score card.o Org chart not showing the reporting relationships and responsibilities of Engineer	<div>Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points:</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o LSBE DeKalb: 20%o LSBE MSA: 7%o Total LSBE Points: 10
Brown and Caldwell	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Not well defined with WAS approach, how to modify and/or improve the existing system,o They provided a safety approval but referred you to organization qualifications and team experience to get this information rather than show it here.o Proposed approach to decrease Community Impact so the County an evaluate is not discussed in detail.o Propopser mentioned that they will collaborate with DeKalb County but go into detail how	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Andy Thorpe, PE, Design Manager, expert in biosolids, not much in PSo Fail to describe their performance history on similar projects and the team.o Met every point on scorecard.o Good Qualitifications and Team Experience.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Overall Key Personnel who will get involved in thsi project has an excellent experience.o All information requested in this section has been provided.o Met every point on scorecard.o Proprosers meet all requirements	<div>Identified 21% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10</div>
CDM Smith	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Executive Sumary provided the exellent one page information such as, Team Lead experieence, Recommendation, Approach, Critical Sucess Factors for improve and upgrade PS , Was, and Solids.o Met every point on scorecard.o CDM Smith meets all the requirements for this criteria.o Good project approach.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Shows strong project team with key personnels and subcontractorso Very generic in detailing the period of their projects.o Did not show that their personnel's expertise was directly in Wastewater (ex. Pumping Stations)o Didn't see licenses for some of the contractors and subcontractors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Technical Advisors have an excellent experience, PSo All required information providedo Met every point on scorecard.o PM plan is thorough.o Meet all qualifications	<div>Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points:</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o LSBE DeKalb: 20.5%o LSBE MSA: 6%o Total LSBE Points: 10

Criteria				
Proposers	Project Approach	Organizational Qualifications and Team Experience	Project Personnel	DeKalb First Ordinance Participation
FGW (JV)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o In Ref. Form Attachment D, the 3rd ref is less concern with PS or Solid MMo Provided an in-depth assessment of the existing IPS and suggested recommendations here in their proposal.o Need more details of minimizing the disturbance to the greatest extent possibleo Project approach was well thought out	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o PM and DPM don't have the experience with TTWW even though on page 27 showing that PM has strong Biosolids MM (-1).o Quarter point deducted on PMs lack of experience with this type project, but he is surrounded by a cast of SMEso Met every point on scorecard.o Good qualification and team experience	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o FGW_ the team member for both PS and Solids MM are excellent.o All requested criteria met.o Met every point on scorecard.o Good Personel	<p>Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o LSBE DeKalb: 24%o LSBE MSA: 5%o Total LSBE Points: 10
Gresham Smith	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Over all project approach is so general and typicalo Technical approach was very weak and could have provide a bit more insight on the diffent technoloiges availableo Doesn't seem their team has too much experience in Pumping Stations.o Too bland with not enough information within the Project Approach.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Layout and information provided in the references are difficult to evaluate, should imporve and condense reference informationo Referenced projects have no schedules provided so no idea if these were completed within the last ten yearso Doesn't seem their team has too much experience in Pumping Stationso Proposer did not provide all of the information required to make a decision on whether they had enough expereince to complete the project we are advertising.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">o PM has20+ years experience(00000MGD) with one Trench-type WW experience @ COA/ICWRC which is not constructed.o Don't see a lot of experience from the design team for the type project being advertised in this RFP.o Doesn't seem their team has too much experience in Pumping Stations.o Minus points for organization charts, identifying key personel, commitment of all key personell,	<p>Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o LSBE DeKalb: 2.4%o LSBE MSA: 14%o LSBE earning the Good Faith Efforts (GFE) maximum score of 2 points