

Criteria				
Proposers	Firm Qualifications, Experience, and References	Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	Project Management	DeKalb First Ordinance Participation
Arcadis	- o Past project experience provided in the RFP mainly show the assessment of the trunk sewer, not the design. - o Firm experiences seems to center around small diameter not large diameter - o Meets all the requirements for this criteria - o Only two projects listed show design, the other projects were assessments - o Matrix does not list timeframes	- o Arcadis provided solid team members with various project experience with the details as requested in the RFP - o They did not provided was the statement that they will be performing more than 50 % of the overall project - o Organization Chart is confusing. - o PM does not list prior trunk sewer design	- o The team shows good project management approach in cost, schedule, quality control. - o Their submittal was not very specific to this solicitation. - o Does not provide details on project cost control. - o Detailed description of the steps in a typical project was provided	Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points: - o LSBE DeKalb: 22% - o LSBE MSA: 3% - o Total LSBE Points: 10
Atkins	- o Reference projects show some large diameter sewer replacement while others involve small diameters. - o Atkins seems to have relevant engineer services for wastewater Trunk design - o Atkins meets all the requirements for this criteria. - o Completed over 50% of the work on ex projects	- o Atkins provides strong team member showing project role and responsibility as well as the percent commitment for the project - o P&C was only able to confirm 2 of the 3 references of the proposer - o Did not provided was the statement that they will be performing more than 50 % of the overall project - o The proposal incorporated all requested information.	- o Atkins approach in permitting, easement, design ,community engagement is well written but not too specific - o They ample describe the schedule, quality control and cost procedures. - o Overall PM approach and methodology is general project management with no indepth details - o The proposal incorporated all requested information. - o Fairly geeral description of Project Management	Identified 25% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10
Barge	- o Barge's design experience with large diameter sewer design seems to be quite strong and releavent to this project - o They surrounded themself with a good mix of subcontractor - o Barge meets all the requirements for this criteria. - o Indicated that over 50% work was provided by Barge	- o Overall strong team - o Project manager -60% participation - o They included they statement that they will be performing more than 50 % of the overall project, - o The proposal incorporated all requested information. - o Self perform 60% of work	- o Barge's project management is well written. - o They didn't explain how cost will be managed other than critical path worked - o They provided a design package workflow with all the required deliverables. - o Don't talk about how lessons learned will be applied and fails to talk about project closeout - o Design package workflow for a typical project was provided	Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points: - o LSBE DeKalb: 36% - o LSBE MSA: 4% - o Total LSBE Points: 10

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING
EVALUATION SUMMARY

Criteria				
Proposers	Firm Qualifications, Experience, and References	Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	Project Management	DeKalb First Ordinance Participation
Brown and Caldwell	- o BC;s reference projects are sufficient and show relaveant large sewer design projects. - o Provided experiences with working with large diameter - o B&C meets all the requirements for this criteria - o Strong submittal - o Lots of experience on consent decree projects	- o Proactive approach focus on clear line of communication. - o They submitted a comprenhesive project managment approach - o This team is comprised of 35 individuals and there is no clear breakdown of all these individual's roles on the task - o The PM only available for 40% - o PM manages current PM on-call projects	- o The approach on on risk, quality, change management is well written - o They described the PMP and their execution - o Very generic on their quality discussion with no discussion of their QA/QC program - o They have completed 18 DWM projects ahead of schedule	Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10
CDM Smith	- o Past projects experience is very relavant and show that the team has capability to deliver design of trunk sewer projects with the tight deadline - o Technical approach focus on seamless project management and technical excellence - o CDM Smith meets all the requirements for this criteria. - o Projects is very compatible to DWM's project - o Extensive experience working in South East Region, GA, & the Atlanta Metropolitan Region. - o Detailed trunk sewer experiece	- o CDM Smith shows strong project team with key personnels and subcontractors - o Project manager – 50 to 65% participation - o They provided % of available time and the PM and design team seems to be satisfactory available - o They show task leaders but there is no explanation of what task they will lead - o Extensive experience from all personnel - o Working on Gwinnett and Atlanta Projects	- o Proactive approach focus on clear line of communication. - o They submitted a comprenhesive project managment approach - o CDM mentions they will track document via Projectwise - o PM plan is thorough. - o Well thorough out project approach, but not really customezed for DeKalb	Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points: - o LSBE DeKalb: 22% - o LSBE MSA: 6% - o Total LSBE Points: 10
Freese	- o FNI provided the details of the past project expeience that met the requirement in the RFP - o Good trunk sewer experience - o The main reference project that they show they are only the designer of a DB project not the general contractor. - o Projects is very compatible to DWM's project - o Good overall experience with larger diameter, trunk lines is not clear	- o FNI has a strong and solid team members for both prime and sub contractors. - o Can’t determine if the prime will be performing 50% of the work - o small team with PM 50% availability but QC only 20 % availability a little short - o Contractor met the minimum qualification - o Does not specify what experience was on large diameter trunk lines	- o Clear approach on comunication plan, risk management, change management plan. - o Good detail management approach - o Very detailed section on project management. - o Detailed monthly reports	Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points: - o LSBE DeKalb: 27% - o LSBE MSA: 2% - o Total LSBE Points: 10

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING
EVALUATION SUMMARY

Criteria				
Proposers	Firm Qualifications, Experience, and References	Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	Project Management	DeKalb First Ordinance Participation
Garver	- o Garver shows good company quaitifcation. - o Could not confirm the trunk sewer experience - o Does not meet all the requirements for this criteria - o Statement regarding CD and CIP programs does not exist. - o Indicates more than 50% of services provided direct by Garver on project examples	- o Garver has strong project team members with several local talents. - o Org chart had anticipated new hires instead of people already on staff - o Small team with PM and Principal with no solid experience on Sewer trunk rehabilitation, - o Not much description of PM experience espially with large diameter trunk sewers	- o Garver listed the generic info for project management plan follow the PMP principal including cost, schedule, quality control - o They didn’t go into detail with their project management approach - o Everything was very general not adapted to this task order. - o No overall project management methodology or approach is provided	Identified 35% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10
Gresham Smith	- o Their past/current projects show numurous trunk sewer design project and some are CD driven. - o Provided a list of 16 relevant sewer projects for them - o Well written section that addresses all items requested in a detailed manner. - o Signficant trunk sewer design experience in multiple states	- o GSP has good team member for both prime and subs. - o This appears to be a very strong and well planned team. - o Well organized. No 50% disclosure - o PM has 70% availability	- o They provided good approach on project management - o Public Communication and Outreach explained in detail - o Detailed plan taylored to this RFP to met all the task order activities - o The project management approach is detailed. - o Good Step by step describption of how they will approach major task during development	Identified 22% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10
Stantec	- o They have shown the matrix that indicate the experience is both rehab and replacement in relation to the pipe size and project length which is easy to visualize. - o They have a lot of Trunk sewer experience - o Provided the required experience narrative, large firm with extensive Consent decree sewer rehabilitation projects - o Well written section that addresses all items requested in a detailed manner.	- o Stantec has strong team members. - o Was able to confirm that they will be self-performing more than 50% of the work - o Staff seems to have many years of relevant experience for sewer trunk design projets.. - oThis appears to be a very strong and well planned team. - o Well organized. - o More water than sewer experience	- o Stantec's project mamangement team follow PMP approach with cost, quality, schedule control approach - o Meets all the Project management requirements they submitted a detailed plan but it is not adapted to this RFP - o The Integrated Management System approach is good. - o Higher level discussion of project tracking and cost control	Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10

Criteria				
Proposers	Firm Qualifications, Experience, and References	Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing	Project Management	DeKalb First Ordinance Participation
Wood, PLC	o Wood has provided company team experience and qualification which seem to be qualified. Notice that they did some cut and paste from other projects in the proposal when using wrong project name. o Experiences working with Dekalb County o This proposal talks about project approach in this section, this should be in the project management section o Some project examples were not for design work, but rather construction	o Overall seem to be fine teams structures. Did not notice where the prime will perform 50% of work o All the staff seems to have many years of relevant experience for construction activities more than trunk desgn. o Not Well organized o Not clear if any of the 3 project managers have much experience in design of large diameters	o Their project management was not explained in detail, o Woods did not submit all the project management requirements, o The project management approach is broad or vague. o Generic overview of project approach and management	Identified 20% LSBE earning the maximum score of 10