

**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING**

**EVALUATION SUMMARY**

<b>Criteria</b>			
<b>Proposers</b>	<b>Project Approach</b>	<b>Project Schedule</b>	<b>Experience and Capabilities of Design Builder/Subcontractor/Other Firms</b>
Lakeshore	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Unique approach on screening removal by using in-line grinders to protect equipment from damage and reduce footprint.</li> <li>o Detailed design-build plan that describes design assumptions and considerations relating to existing pipe system, floodplain elevations, odor control, and instrumentation.</li> <li>o Provide the excellent conceptual proposed design, clearly label and illustrate the overall concept.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Integrate construction team into early design phase - complete constructability review and cost estimate at milestones.</li> <li>o Detailed schedule by task showing project complete in October 2026 assuming January 2024 NTP.</li> <li>o No schedule of the Roger Lake PS construction</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Design manager (R2T) did the design of 3 pump stations, one in DeKalb and 2 in COAL.</li> <li>o Project summaries addressed all key points in the reference projects section.</li> <li>o Good Experience with the deep excavation, blasting in the deep rock area in the past project.</li> <li>o Good Team experience; PM commitment was low</li> </ul>
Ruby Collins	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o The team listed several key project success such as stakeholder collaboration, proactive permitting, consideration of multiple LCC 2 siting options</li> <li>o Very detailed and well thought out description of how they would approach the design of the project and the assumptions they are using</li> <li>o Not providing the clear concept of the pump design such as the number of the pump, type of pump, rotational speed, and pump configuration until performing the evaluation with DWM</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Did not notice the GMP development /negotiation on the schedule</li> <li>o Detailed schedule provided that covers most major tasks, but only for design phase.</li> <li>o Only the design schedule has been provided, construction schedule hasn't been provided in the proposal.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o RC/BC have lots of experience especially with DeKalb County projects.</li> <li>o Not clear how much the team of firms has worked together</li> <li>o Reference Projects for both RC and BC showing the high value of the change orders, some is 100% change order</li> <li>o Description of Project Management</li> </ul>

**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING  
EVALUATION SUMMARY**

<b>Experience and Capabilities Key Personnel</b>	<b>LSBE Points</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Some key personnel does not have long years of experience.</li> <li>o Design manager has worked on multiple other sewer pump station projects in Atlanta and DeKalb as both design and overall project manager.</li> <li>o None of the statement mentioned that PM, DM, CM have any experience with CMAR or DB projects</li> </ul>	<p>Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o LSBE DeKalb: 10</li> <li>o LSBE MSA: 1</li> <li>o Total LSBE Points: 10 Max</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o References projects have required information needed.</li> <li>o Not clear if any of the reference projects listed were design-build.</li> <li>o PM, Jesse Brown has DBIA, commit 100% for Phase I and II, excellent experiecnce for CM, PMT, DB</li> </ul>	<p>Contractor had a mix of LSBE Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o LSBE DeKalb: 1.11</li> <li>o LSBE MSA: 4.51</li> <li>o Total LSBE Points: 5.62</li> </ul>