

From: Tom Ulbricht <tculbr@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 1:01 PM

To: rjpatrick@dekalbcountyga.gov; Rader, Jeff <jrader@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Johnson, Larry L. <larryjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Bradshaw, Stephen R. <SRBradshaw@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Johnson, Mereda D. <mdjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Ted Terry <ecterry@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Cochran-Johnson, Lorraine <LCJohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Baker, Andrew <aabaker@dekalbcountyga.gov>

Cc: Anne Lerner <ALerner@tuckerga.gov>; Matthew Lee <mlee@tuckernorthlake.com>; Penn Hastings <pennhastings@yahoo.com>; Yoganathan, Ajit <ajit.yoganathan@bme.gatech.edu>; Kathy Kenney <kkenney@gmail.com>; Lewis Gleason <Gleason3@bellsouth.net>; George Wellborn <georgewellborn@att.net>

Subject: Proposal to approve a text amendment: TA22-12454-70

Chairman, Commissioners and Mr. Baker,

I wish to bring to your attention that the above noted Text Amendment to the Northlake Overlay Ordinance is extraordinarily outside the acceptable method of changing, in fact doubling, the allowable density for an apartment project as governed by the adopted ordinance. The project, located on Parklake Drive, is in a Tier 2 zone allowing 30 units per acre and this project would, if the TA is approved by you, would jump that allowed density to 60 u/acre. This is being done without public input and would set an incredibly bad precedent for all Overlay districts that have gone through years of public involvement and professional planning/zoning experience to create them. A Text Amendment would instantly make the density for apartments in our Tier 2 almost comparable with our high density Tier 1 developments. The appropriate way to change this density is to change this property, and possibly some adjacent, to Tier 1. That requires an amendment to the whole Overlay Ordinance or a rezoning going through a public involvement process. Overlay ordinances are meant to speed up development approvals **IF** the development meets all of the requirements of the ordinance. Text Amendments are not meant for changing density!

I and my neighbors implore you to re-direct this to an appropriate method of changing the density on this property, which we think is very high for this location. Thanks for your consideration of our request.

--

Tom C. Ulbricht

From: L High <lesdhgh@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 4:46 PM

To: Public Hearing <PublicHearing@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Plansustain <plansustain@dekalbcountyga.gov>

Subject: Item N12, March 3, 2022 Planning Commission agenda

As a homeowner in Northlake I am writing to request denial of the proposed text amendment to the Northlake overlay. The amendment proposes a change in selected Tier 2 properties to allow an increase in density from 30 to 60 units per acre.

Fifteen homeowners from my community attended the Community Council meeting to express their opposition to this change. The Community council unanimously recommended denial.

Our objections include the following:

1. The proposed change covers only five properties in Tier 2 along Parklake Drive. No explanation was given for the selection of these properties which include two major apartment complexes, a hotel, a building used by the federal government and one empty building formerly occupied by Kroger. None of the buildings except the Kroger property are considering redevelopment at this time. There was no explanation offered as to why these specific properties were included when only one is seeking redevelopment. Two other Tier 2 parcels contiguous to the Hampton Inn were excluded from the "affected" properties, without explanation. These are the only other Tier 2 properties in the immediate area and they were not included in the proposed change? How does increased density improve the quality of life in Northlake? Tucker allows a density of 24 units per acre in this area. Why would DeKalb propose 60? Neither of the existing apartment building on Parklake reach the proposed density.
2. If other apartment complexes in the immediate area do not have the density proposed why would the Kroger property be singled out for favorable treatment in this unusual way?
3. If the proposed text amendment is approved, the public is closed out of the development process contrary to current practice. No explanation was provided yet it emerged during the Community Council meeting that the Planning

department had already received a development proposal for that property. No explanation was provided for why that proposal should not follow the customary public review process.

4. The proposed development does not improve the Northlake area. Traffic already is a consideration at the intersection with LaVista. Cars back up at the light during busy hours. Vehicles attempt to exit the gas station crossing Parklake toward Northlake Parkway where visibility is blocked by the landscape island at LaVista. Other vehicles enter and exit the federal building in some volume when immigration proceedings are taking place. Other vehicles attempt to exit the Bank of America and the Doubletree toward LaVista causing traffic congestion. Increasing traffic from a high density complex would not improve this situation.
5. Has any consideration been given to sewer capacity? As owners of North Lake we have already had pollution in our lake from DeKalb sewer line breaks. We also experience problems from runoff and trash flowing into the lake through the storm drains.
6. The overlay was created with public input and professional planning. Why should an isolated, ad hoc change be approved with next to no public notice or awareness, only to benefit one developer and one property owner? This process feels like a blatant attempt to green light a favored project and avoid public review.

Please note that this item designated as N12 on the agenda, is located on the agenda map somewhere below I-20, not remotely near Northlake and Tucker.

Thank you for considering these concerns.

Lesley High
Homeowner, Northlake Condominium

From: Stephanie Babbitt <sbabbitt@bellsouth.net>

Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 4:43 PM

To: Plansustain <plansustain@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Patrick, Robert J. <rjpatrick@dekalbcountyga.gov>; Rader, Jeff <jrader@dekalbcountyga.gov>

Subject: Planning Commission: Northlake Overlay Text Amendment

**** WARNING:** The sender of this email could not be validated and may not match the person in the "From" field.

My name is Stephanie Babbitt. I live at 2899 Greenwillow Drive, which is literally on the border of Districts 1 and 2. (I'm in 1; most of my neighborhood association is in 2). I have just learned today that the Planning Commission intends to vote at tonight's meeting to adopt a text amendment to the zoning ordinance for the Northlake Overlay District that will double the allowed residential density for the area. I am not only vehemently opposed to this; I am greatly disturbed that it's even allowable for the Planning Commission to implement without a hearing what amounts to a significant rezoning.

I strongly encourage Commissioners Patrick and Rader to direct their appointed Planning Commissioners to reconsider this "text amendment" and to put this proposed increase in density through the proper zoning channels. The Northlake Community Alliance presented the Northlake Overlay after considerable

investment of time and research. It's exceptionally bad government for the Commission to overstep the efforts of the affected businesses and neighborhoods to control their own destiny and environment.

Stephanie Babbitt
2899 Greenwillow Drive, NE
sbabbitt@bellsouth.net

From: Debbie & Jud Miller <judndeb@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Plansustain <plansustain@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Cc: Matthew Lee <mlee@tuckernorthlake.com>
Subject: Northlake Overlay - Text Amendment

**** WARNING:** The sender of this email could not be validated and may not match the person in the "From" field.

I am opposed to using a Text Amendment to **double density** in the Northlake Overlay along Park Lake Drive.

I ask that you deny this request.

The Overlay was put in place by the residents and the commercial property owners in Northlake for a reason and it should not be altered for one street or one (Kroger ?) property owner. This wrecks of corruption and shady dealing and only adds to the distrust citizens feel for our county Planning & Development Department.

Debbie Miller

2978 McCully Drive
ATL, GA 30345

Randolph Estates

A neighborhood of single family homes that abuts the commercial district to the west who looks forward to redevelopment done properly and through the proper channels.

Brandon;

As a note. Tom Ulbricht and George Wellborn are the technical advisors to the community concerning the Northlake Overlay. They drafted the technical aspects of the document. For any development in the Northlake Overlay, the Tucker Civic Association is charged to vet the application with the community (Public information meetings, etc.) and Tom and George weigh in on a technical level with a view to the larger Overlay plan.

I am forwarding you Tom's and George's response to the TA for the Northlake Overlay. Please pass this along with your package/report on the Community Council vote.

Bruce Penn

Penn, Hastings & Associates
4228 First Avenue
Suite 7
Tucker, Georgia 30084

This information and any attachments from Bruce Penn or Penn, Hastings & Associates may contain CONFIDENTIAL and legally protected information. If you are not the addressee and the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication to others. Please notify the sender by replying to this message, and then delete it from your system.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Tom Ulbricht <tculbr@gmail.com>

To: Penn Hastings <pennhastings@yahoo.com>

Cc: charlton Allen <allenfirm@mindspring.com>; Honey Van De Kreke <honeyv@elrepsales.com>; Bob Espy <respy49779@aol.com>; George Wellborn <georgewellborn@att.net>; Anne Lerner <alerner@tuckerga.gov>

Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022, 01:16:18 PM EST

Subject: Re: TA-22-1245470; Tier 1 proposed changes in Northlake Overlay

Penn, I have consulted with George Wellborn and we feel that 60 u/a is too high for Tier 2 properties. Additionally, the text amendment doesn't specify what properties are involved, though the map does show them. The text basically implies that all properties on Parklake Drive could have 60 u/a. We absolutely don't agree with that. Why are the Hampton Inn property and the two existing apartment complexes at the corner of Parklake and Northlake Pkwy involved in this? They are relatively new. My understanding was that the site of the Kroger Regional office is to be redeveloped, so I see no need to include the other properties in this. We are concerned about over "apartmentizing" this area when the Overlay Ordinance gives reasonable ratios of Commercial, Office and

Multifamily development in order to maintain a reasonable balance of uses. I understand that these are goals, not laws. However, allowing apartments at 60 u/a is twice the density allowed. We, therefore, feel that such substantial changes should be brought back to the public for input as this is not just a text amendment.

On Thu, Feb 24, 2022 at 12:18 PM Penn Hastings <pennhastings@yahoo.com> wrote:
Tom;

This is a proposed change to (only a portion of) the Tier 1 designation in the Northlake Overlay. This property is in unincorporated DeKalb County and is going through the County vetting process.

If you would be so kind, can you review the application for the change and give me your thoughts and feedback? This proposed change is for one specific project and by going through the Text Amendment route, public notification is not required.

Thanks!!!

Bruce Penn

Penn, Hastings & Associates
4228 First Avenue
Suite 7
Tucker, Georgia 30084

This information and any attachments from Bruce Penn or Penn, Hastings & Associates may contain CONFIDENTIAL and legally protected information. If you are not the addressee and the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication to others. Please notify the sender by replying to this message, and then delete it from your system.

--

Tom C. Ulbricht
