

#### **DeKalb County Department of Planning & Sustainability**

#### 330 Ponce De Leon Avenue, Suite 300 Decatur, GA 30030

(404) 371-2155 / plandev@dekalbcountyga.gov

Planning Commission Hearing Date: July 09, 2019, 6:30 P.M. Board of Commissioners Hearing Date: July 23, 2019, 6:30 P.M.

#### **STAFF ANALYSIS**

Case No.:	LP-19-1235181		Agenda #: D.2				
Location/Address:	1422 & 1450 Rock Chapel Road,	Lithonia, GA	<b>Commission Dis</b>	trict:5 Super District:7			
Parcel IDs:	16 189 01 002 & 16 189 01 003						
Request:	Future Land Use Plan Map amen	dment from Subur	ban (SUB) to Ligl	nt Industrial (LIND)			
Property Owner(s):	Arnold Bowen	Arnold Bowen					
Applicant/Agent:	Rose C. Evans	Rose C. Evans					
Acreage:	3.53 Acres						
Existing Land Use:	Suburban (SUB)						
<b>Surrounding Properties:</b>	Suburban (SUB)						
Adjacent Zoning:	North:R-100(SUB) South:R-100(	SUB) East:R-100(S	SUB) West:R-10	O(SUB) Northeast:R-			
	100(SUB) Northwest:R-100(SUE	(SUB) Southwe	st:R-100(SUB)				
(Comprehensive Plan)		Cons	istent X	lucousistant			
		Cons	istent X	Inconsistent			
Proposed Density: N/	A	Existing Densit	t <b>y</b> : N/A				
Proposed Units/Squar	Existing Units/Square Feet: N/A						
Proposed Lot Coverag	e: N/A	Existing Lot Co	verage: N/A				

#### **Companion Application:**

The applicant has filed a companion application (Z-19-1235180) to amend the Zoning from R-100 (Single-Family Residential) District to M (Light Industrial) District to develop a parking lot for a trucking company and utilize the existing residential structure on the site as office space.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION: DENIAL

The intent of the Light Industrial Character Area is to identify areas appropriate for industrial type uses. The location of these areas shall preserve the appeal and appearance of residential and commercial areas from the prospective intrusion of light industrial land uses. Light Industrial Character Area Policy number three (3) states that the Light Industrial Character Area exists to 'Protect the encroachment of industrial uses into established residential areas.'

The subject parcels are adjacent on all sides to parcels that have a Suburban Character Area designation. The Suburban Character Area designation's intent is to preserve established residential areas. This application to amend the subject

parcels' Future Land Use is inconsistent with the surrounding properties' Future Land Use and the policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

Because of these factors, staff's recommendation is 'Denial'

#### **Attachments:**

- 1. Department and Division Comments
- 2. Supplemental Analysis Matrix
- 3. Application
- 4. Site Plan
- 5. Zoning Map
- 6. Land Use Plan Map
- 7. Aerial Map



#### **COMMENTS FORM:**

#### PUBLIC WORKS TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

Case No.: Lef Address: 14	2241450 Rock Chapel Rod honiz, Ba	6-189 01-000 5-189 , 01-003	
	(classification)	(classification)	
	Capacity (TPD)  Latest Count (TPD) Hourly Capacity (VPH) Peak Hour. Volume (VPH) Existing number of traffic lanes Existing right of way width Proposed number of traffic lanes Proposed right of way width	Capacity (TPD)  Latest Count (TPD)  Hourly Capacity (VPH)  Peak Hour. Volume (VPH)  Existing number of traffic lanes  Existing right of way width  Proposed number of traffic lanes  Proposed right of way width	- -
According to stugenerate an average factor. Based or with approximating Family repeak hour factor maximum of	additional information relating to the following standiditional information relating to the following standiditional information relating to the following standidition of fifteen (15) vehicle trip end (VTE) per 1, 00 in the above formula, thesquare foot place itely peak hour vehicle trip ends.  esidence, on the other hand, would generate ten (16 in	rs (ITE) <u>6/7<sup>TH</sup></u> Edition (whichever is applicable to square feet of floor area, with an eight (8%) of worship building would generate vel  b) VTE's per day per dwelling unit, with a ten ( (Single Family Residential) District designated the image of the state of	percent peak hot hicle trip ends, (10%) percent don which allow a, daily
COMMENTS:	- see any traffic eng	nearing Concerns at the	5 time
W			

Signature: Jerish Kurul



# DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT PLANNING DEPARTMENT DISTRIBUTION FORM

**NOTE:** PLEASE RETURN ALL COMMENTS VIA EMAIL OR FAX TO EXPEDITE THE PROCESS TO MICHELLE M ALEXANDER <a href="mmalexander@dekalbcountyga.gov">mmalexander@dekalbcountyga.gov</a> OR JOHN REID <a href="mailto:IREID.@DEKALBCOUNTYGA.GOV">IREID.@DEKALBCOUNTYGA.GOV</a>

## COMMENTS FORM: PUBLIC WORKS WATER AND SEWER

Case No.: <u>LP-19-1235181</u>								
Parcel I.D. #:16-189-01-002 &16-189-01-003								
WATER:								
Size of existing water main: _8" DI & 16" DI Water Main (adequate/inadequate)								
Distance from property to nearest main: Adjacent to Property								
Size of line required, if inadequate: <u>N/A</u>								
SEWER:								
Outfall Servicing Project: Johnson Creek Basin								
Is sewer adjacent to property: Yes () No (X) If no, distance to nearest line: Approximately 211 feet South of Property								
Water Treatment Facility:Pole Bridge WTF ( ) adequate ( ) inadequate								
Sewage Capacity; * (MGPD) Current Flow: 6.48 (MGPD)								
COMMENTS:								
* Please note that the sewer capacity has not been reviewed or approved for this project. A Sewer Capacity Request (SCR) must be completed and submitted for review. This can be a lengthy process and should be addressed early in the process.								

#### DEKALB COUNTY

#### Board of Health

--

#### 2/15/2019

To: Ms. Madolyn Spann, Planning Manager

Mr. John Reid, Senior Planner

From: Ryan Cira, Environmental Health Manager Cc: Alan Gaines, Technical Sevices Manager

Re: Rezone Application Review

#### **General Comments:**

DeKalb County Health Regulations prohibit use of on-site sewage disposal systems for

- multiple dwellings
- · food service establishments
- · hotels and motels
- commercial laundries
- funeral homes
- schools
- · nursing care facilities
- personal care homes with more than six (6) clients
- child or adult day care facilities with more than six (6) clients
- residential facilities containing food service establishments

If proposal will use on-site sewage disposal, please contact the Land Use Section (404) 508-7900.

Any proposal, which will alter wastewater flow to an on-site sewage disposal system, must be reviewed by this office prior to construction.

This office must approve any proposed food service operation or swimming pool prior to starting construction.

Public health recommends the inclusion of sidewalks to continue a preexisting sidewalk network or begin a new sidewalk network. Sidewalks can provide safe and convenient pedestrian access to a community-oriented facility and access to adjacent facilities and neighborhoods.

For a public transportation route, there shall be a 5ft. sidewalk with a buffer between the sidewalk and the road. There shall be enough space next to sidewalk for bus shelter's concrete pad installation.

Since DeKalb County is classified as a Zone 1 radon county, this office recommends the use of radon resistant construction.

#### **DeKalb County Board of Health**

404.508.7900 • www.dekalbhealth.net 2/15/2019

N.1	LP-19-1235181/2019-3209/16-189-01-002, 16-189-01-003
1422 Rock Chapel Road, Lithonia, GA	
Amendment	
- Please see general comments.	
N.2	Z-19-1235180/2019-3210/16-189-01-002, 16-189-01-003
1422 and 1450 Rock Chapel Road, Lithonia	ı, GA
Amendment	
- Please see general comments.	
N.3	SLUP-19-1235326/ 2019-3211/18-154-02-154
1135 Chantilly Cres, Atlanta, GA 30324	
Amendment	
- Please see general comments.	
N.4	Z-19-1243040/ 2019-3212 /18-154-02-154
1676 Rice Road, Lithonia, GA 30058	
Amendment	
- Sentic installed on 8/10/1070, review com	nments

#### **Zoning Comments**

N1 & N2. Rock Chapel Road is SR 124. GDOT review and permits required. . Contact Justin Hatch at GDOT District 7 (juhatch@dot.gov). Rock Chapel Road is classified as a major arterial. See Chapter 14-190 and Chapter 5 of the Zoning Code for required infrastructure improvements. Right of way dedication of 50 feet from centerline required. All public infrastructure is required on public right of way- even if it exceeds 50 feet from centerline. Applicant is encouraged to seek an access easement across private property to obtain access to the traffic signal at Rock Mountain Rd and Rock Chapel Road. Street lighting along Rock Chapel Road required.

N3. No customer parking within public right of way along Chantilly Road due to close proximity of multiple intersections. Chantilly Road is classified as a local road. See Chapter 14-190 and Chapter 5 of the Zoning Code for required infrastructure improvements. Right of way dedication of 27.5 feet from centerline of Chantilly Road. These requirements will be applied with any land development permits.

N4. Rice Road, Classified as a local road. No comment.

N5. Lexington Trace is classified as a local road. Agape Way is classified as a local road. Agape Road is located within the I-285 right of way. GDOT review and approval is required. Contact Justin Hatch at GDOT District 7 (<a href="mailto:juhatch@dot.gov">juhatch@dot.gov</a>). Applicant must coordinate with the Major Mobility Improvement Projects (MMIP) proposed managed lanes project along the east wall of I-285. Contact Tim Matthews (<a href="mailto:TMatthews@dot.ga.gov">TMatthews@dot.ga.gov</a>) prior to permitting. Local roads require a 27.5 foot right of way dedication from centerline, 5 foot landscape strip, 5 foot sidewalk. See Chapter 14-190 and Chapter 5 of the Zoning Code for required infrastructure improvements. Streetlights required. Infrastructure improvements required on all frontages.

N6. Nicole Lane is classified as a local road. Reginald Court is classified as a local road. No comment.

N7 & N8. E. Tupelo Street is classified as a local roads. Oldfield Road is classified as a local road. All local public roads require a 27.5 foot right of way from centerline, five foot landscape strip, five foot sidewalk and street lights. Infrastructure improvements required on all frontages. See Chapter 14-190 and Chapter 5 of the Zoning Code for required infrastructure improvements.

N9. Wellborn Road is classified as a collector road. Collector Roads require a 35 foot right of way dedication from centerline, 6 foot sidewalk, 4 bike lane, 5 foot landscape strip, and streetlights. Covington Hwy is classified as major arterial. Requires GDOT approval and review. Contact Justin Hatch at GDOT District 7 (juhatch@dot.gov). Major arterials require a 50 right of way dedication from centerline, 6 foot sidewalks, 4 foot bike lane, 5 foot landscape strip and street lights. Number of units requires two access points per code. Eliminate the acceleration lane at entrance. GDOT has just awarded an intersection project at the intersection of Covington Hwy at Wellborn Rd/Cove Lake Road. Coordinate with construction awarded to Baldwin Paving. Install a roundabout at the access point on Wellborn Roadeliminates the right turn lane and the left turn lane. The Transportation Division will support the one entrance with the installation of a roundabout, but still requires Board action to vary from the code.

N10. Flowers Road is classified as a collector road. . Collector Roads require a 35 foot right of way dedication from centerline, 6 foot sidewalk, 4 foot bike lake, 5 foot landscape strip, and streetlights. Covington Hwy is classified as major arterial. Extend infrastructure improvements along all property lines. Intersection, stopping and left turn sight distance required to be verified by Professional Engineer at entrance on Wellborn Road due to curve and possible hill crest along Wellborn Road. Minimum sight distance requirements must be met for permitting.



# DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT PLANNING DEPARTMENT

The following areas below may warrant comments from the development Division. Please respond accordingly as the issues relate to the proposed request and the site plan enclosed as it relates to Chapter 14. You may address applicable disciplines.

#### **DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS:**

#### Transportation/Access/Row

Consult the DeKalb County Transportation Department prior to land development permit. Verify widths from the centerline of the roadways to the property line for possible right-of-way dedication. Improvements within the right-of-way may be required as a condition for land development application review approval. Safe vehicular circulation is required. Paved off-street parking is required.

#### • Storm Water Management

Compliance with the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, DeKalb County Code of Ordinances 14-40 for Stormwater Management and 14-42 for Storm Water Quality Control, to include Runoff Reduction Volume where applicable is required as a condition of land development permit approval. Use Volume Three of the G.S.M.M. for best maintenance practices. Use the NOAA Atlas 14 Point Precipitation Data set specific to the site. The proposed use of the site is a stormwater hotspot. Recommend Low Impact Development features/Green Infrastructure be included in the proposed site design to protect as much as practicable.

#### Flood Hazard Area/Wetlands

The presence of FEMA Flood Hazard Area was not indicated in the County G.I.S. mapping records for the site; and should be noted in the plans at the time of any land development permit application. Encroachment of flood hazard areas require compliance with Article IV of Chapter 14 and FEMA floodplain regulations.

#### Landscaping/Tree Preservation

Landscaping and tree preservation plans for any building, or parking lot must comply with DeKalb County Code of Ordinances 14-39 as well as Chapter 27 Article 5 and are subject to approval from the County Arborist.

#### Tributary Buffer

State water buffer was not reflected in the G.I.S. records for the site. Typical state waters buffer have a 75' undisturbed stream buffer and land development within the undisturbed creek buffer is prohibited without a variance per DeKalb County Code of Ordinances 14-44.1.

#### Fire Safety

<u>Plans for land development permit must comply with Chapter 12 DeKalb County Code for fire protection and prevention.</u>



Case No. LP-19-1235181 Project Name: Rose C. Evans Existing FLU: Suburban (SUB)
Proposed FLU: Light Industrial
(M)

Staff Recommendation Denial

**Light Industrial Intent** - The intent of the Light Industrial Character Area is to identify areas appropriate for industrial type uses. The location of these areas shall preserve the appeal and appearance of residential and commercial areas from the prospective intrusion of light industrial land uses. These areas consist of areas used in low intensity manufacturing, including wholesale trade, and distribution activities that do not generate excessive noise, vibration, air pollution or other nuisance characteristics.


#### **Light Industrial**

#### Land Use

Town Center 120 dwelling units per acre

#### **Primary Uses**

- Light Industrial Uses
- Manufacturing
- Warehouse / Distribution
- Wholesale / Trade
- Automotive
- Accessory
   Commercial
- Educational Commercial
- Community Facilities



# Project Description Owner / Project Name: Arnold Bowen c/o Rose C. Evans Use Square Feet (% of total dev) Residential Commercial Office Retail Entertainment



Other					
			peration of a		
		truck	parking s	pace	
	Total				
	Sup	port to	Staff		
<b>Light Industrial Character Area Policies</b>		ommen		Justification	
	YES	NO	N/A		
Provide appropriate					
infrastructure support for industrial development			$\boxtimes$		
in designated industrial areas.					
2. <b>Buffer</b> – Protect surrounding areas from the			$\boxtimes$		
negative impacts of noise and light pollutants.					
3. Residential Protection - Prohibit the			]		
encroachment of industrial uses into established		$\boxtimes$			
residential areas.					
4. <b>Environmental Compatibility</b> - Direct development to industrial districts located in areas					
with compatible soils drainage and other		$\boxtimes$			
environmental characteristics.					
5. <b>Zoning Compatibility</b> - Protect existing and					
zoned undeveloped industrial land from		$\boxtimes$			
unnecessary intrusion by conflicting land uses.					
6. <b>Re-zoning</b> - Minimize the rezoning of light					
industrial properties to residential uses.		$\boxtimes$			
7. Future Designations - Designate specific areas					
through the use of zoning and other land use tools		$\boxtimes$			
for industrial development.					
8. <b>Retrofit</b> - Develop or, where possible, retrofit					
property planned industrial parks with adequate		$\boxtimes$			
water, sewer, storm-water, and transportation					
infrastructure for all component uses at build-out.					
9. <b>Location of Centers</b> - Locate industrial centers in			$\boxtimes$		
areas with good access to highways.					
10. Landscaping - Incorporate landscaping and site					
design to soften or shield views of buildings and			$\boxtimes$		
parking lots, loading docks, etc.  11. Regulations Compatibility - Create and					
implement zoning and development regulations			$\boxtimes$		
for industrial uses.					
12. <b>Truck Routes</b> - Designate truck routes to reduce					
noise, pollutants and traffic congestion in	$\boxtimes$				
residential areas.					
13. Access Management - Provide access controls					
and management standards in compliance with the			$\boxtimes$		
DeKalb County Transportation Plan.					
14. Adaptable Reuse - Convert obsolete and empty					
industrial buildings into multifamily and/or live-			$\boxtimes$		
work establishments.					



(	amendments to the Official Zoning Map.)						
Questions		Compliant		nt	Comments to support zoning proposal		
		YES	NO	N/A			
A.	Zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan:		$\boxtimes$		The site is located within a designated Town Center Character Area by the 2035 Comprehensive Plan. Regional Centers allow a residential density of up to 60 units per acre.		
В.	The zoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties:		$\boxtimes$				
C.	The property to be affected by the zoning proposal has a reasonable economic use as currently zoned:			$\boxtimes$			
D.	The zoning proposal will adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property:	$\boxtimes$					
E.	There are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property, which give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the zoning proposal:		$\boxtimes$				
F.	The zoning proposal will adversely affect historic buildings, sites, districts, or archaeological resources:			$\boxtimes$			
G.	The zoning proposal will result in a use which will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools:		$\boxtimes$				
Н.	The zoning proposal adversely impacts the environment or surrounding natural resources.		$\boxtimes$				

Economic Development Analysis (Based on the 2014 DeKalb County Economic Strategic Plan)						
Policies		pliance		Additional comments that justify staff		
	the S	trategio	Plan	recommendation		
	Yes	No	N/A			
Target Industry and Niches						
☐ Click here if no Target Industry applies						
Professional and Business Services (PBS)  □ Niche Markets: Entrepreneur-Enabled Businesses, E-commerce, Engineering, Creative Design, Consulting, Accounting, & Marketing □ Criteria: Clean, Sustainable, Creative □ Theme Elements:  • Job types: knowledge, technical, and innovation workers.  • Entrepreneurship. Businesses in this industry are typically small and locally owned. The opportunity for entrepreneurship is high.  • Business retention efforts could be expanded to reduce the outflow of businesses and keep them operating within the county.				Supporting Information: Business services are, in their broadest sense, occupations geared toward providing services in the business world. Professional services are those requiring niche educational training, such as architects, engineers, accountants, doctors, and lawyers.  Professional and Business Services (PBS) firms exist both as subsidiary operations for parent firms as well as outsourced third-party service providers. Entrepreneur business services is one of the fastest growing sectors of the US economy.  Relevance to subject property: None		
Life Sciences - Services, products, and activities that are broadly related to research, manufacturing and other activities focused upon or utilizing living organisms, with particular attention to activities relating to the maintenance or restoration of health.    Niche Markets: Biotechnology, Bioinformatics, Proteomics, Health IT, Senior Care Services			$\boxtimes$	Supporting Information: The Life Sciences industry is currently one of the largest in the U.S. economy and is projected to undergo the swiftest growth in employment and wages among all industries over the next ten years.  Life sciences relies on high levels of scientific and technology research, and therefore depends		



<ul> <li>Jobs types: knowledge, technical, and innovation workers.</li> <li>Progression in this industry relies on small businesses that pursue ideas and technological advancements made in the public sector.</li> <li>As businesses grow, access to larger markets becomes vita.</li> <li>Retention of existing companies is just as important as business attraction for sustained economic growth.</li> </ul>			heavily on research institutions such as universities. Moreover, funding requirements within the industry are substantial, placing companies and entrepreneurs that work within the industry in particular need of readily accessible venture capital, government funding, and other sources of funding.  Relevance to subject property: None
Tourism - Tourism as an industry focuses on destinations, travelers, and the businesses that accommodate those travelers.    Niche Markets: Cultural Tourism, Bed & Breakfast Inns, Youth Sporting Events, Dynamic Tour Packaging   Criteria: Green, Sustainable, Creative   Theme elements:   Job types: knowledge and innovation workers as well as semi-skilled workers.   Those with an entrepreneurial passion can enter into this industry, which celebrates creativity and ingenuity.		$\boxtimes$	Supporting Information: Professions within it include travel agents, event planners, museum curators, archivists, and various supporting occupations. Being that tourism requires travel, the industry is not considered clean. In recent years, however, tourists have begun to turn toward green and other more socially conscious ways to experience their destinations.  Eco-tourism looks to offset environmental costs associated with travel through environmentally friendly activities and accommodations. In this regard, some parts of the industry could be considered green. Tourism is also creative in that it is the energy, passion, and enriching experience that attract tourists to their destinations.  Relevance to subject property: None
the efficient flow of goods and services through the supply chain from producer to consumer. Distribution includes all freight carriers (air, trucking, and intermodal) and warehousing.    Niche Markets: Specialized Freight Trucking, Back Office Support Services, Truck Terminals, Warehouse Distribution.   Criteria: Sustainable   Theme elements:   Jobs are this industry include knowledge and technical workers as well as semiskilled workers.   As technology and trade regulation continue to evolve, the Logistics industry has great opportunities for entrepreneurs to innovate new and more efficient ways to store and distribute goods.   Retention of DeKalb's Logistics companies will be critical to the continued development of this industry. Many of those companies are located in the Southwest area of the county, where the location advantage is greatest.			Supporting Information: Until recently, most manufacturing firms coordinated their own warehousing and flow mechanics. Now, these services are often outsourced to develop advanced just-in-time delivery systems. The integration of international trade, logistics, and distribution into one continuous supply chain driven by free trade has put this industry at the forefront of economic growth.  Logistics and distribution companies that can capture small and medium sized businesses entering the global market will experience above average growth. These are the businesses that DeKalb County is best suited to support because of its proximity to major interstate corridors and transportation hubs as well as its reasonable labor costs.  Relevance to subject property: None
construction and support trades (cst) - Construction is the creation of improvement of man-made structures. It can include residential, commercial, and industrial building construction, or civil construction, which encompasses infrastructure and utilities.    Niche Markets: Construction Materials Manufacturing, Contracting, Homebuilding    Criteria: Clean    Theme elements:    CST employs workers across the full spectrum of skill levels, from architects, to skilled tradesmen, to semiskilled workers.		$\boxtimes$	Supporting Information: Construction support trades are those that enable but do not directly participate in construction operations, to include material and equipment providers and contractors. Construction was one of the industries hit hardest by the recession, as great economic uncertainty and liquidity issues caused both public and private entities to rethink new construction projects, or even abandon ongoing projects.  Now that the economic recovery is picking up momentum, DeKalb County has an opportunity to capitalize on the nationwide resurgence in the



<ul> <li>Growing emphasis on green construction practices provides opportunities to entrepreneurs and innovators.</li> <li>Retention of DeKalb's existing CST firms will be essential to industry growth.</li> </ul>			industry. New technologies and eco-friendly construction practices provide a unique opportunity to DeKalb CST entities as they work to complete projects halted during the recession and work on new projects. An excellent example of such a project is the proposed redevelopment of the Doraville GM plant. The proposed conversion of the site into a "livable, mixed-use, transit oriented development" is an opportunity for a innovative, socially responsible industry resurgence and can serve as a model for similar projects in the future.  Relevance to subject property: None
Advanced Manufacturing - Advanced manufacturing is a category of manufacturing that utilizes innovative technologies to make better products and improve the methods to produce those products.  Niche Markets: Fabricated Metals Manufacturing, Medical Equipment and Supplies, Laboratory Equipment and Supplies, Light Manufacturing and Assembly.  Criteria: Green and Creative  Theme elements:  Despite current trends, the jobs that remain in manufacturing will require a range of skills. Semi-skilled workers will be needed as well as workers that have specialized technical skills and also, workers that think in innovate ways.  Supporting entrepreneurs with new and exciting ways of commercializing advances in research can give this industry a much needed breath of fresh air.  Even though manufacturers are not quite as mobile as other businesses, the county must explore ways of keeping manufacturers operating within the county.			Supporting Information: The future of manufacturing, in DeKalb County and nationally, is both quick and detailed, but is above all smarter. The kinds of manufacturers that can prosper in a new American economy need to "green" their production methods and think creatively about how consumers will use them.  It is no secret that all kinds of manufacturing jobs have become harder to find in recent decades for American workers. Nearly every city in the country has seen a declining manufacturing base. Yet, between 2011 and 2012, there have been some signs of growth in certain manufacturing sectors. The impact that these kinds of jobs can have is huge for the places that can attract them.  Relevance to subject property: None
Improve Business Climate			
Business Climate Action Plan  1. Optimize Incentives  2. Support Entrepreneurs & Small Businesses  3. Support Existing Businesses & Foster Expansion  4. Finalize Implementation of Development Services Overhaul  5. Strengthen the Economic Development Organization  6. Improve Marketing, Branding, and Communication for the County & DADC		$\boxtimes$	
Revitalize Commercial Corridors and Embrace New Employment Centers			
Employment Centers Action Plan. Subject property / project provides the following (check all that apply):  □ Incentivize redevelopment and build public/private partnerships □ Secure appropriate zoning. Rezone required? □ Appropriate marketing and branding for employment centers and target industries □ Creation of a new employment center in DeKalb County □ Encourage clustering through target industry support programs		$\boxtimes$	
Click "NO" if the property is not within an employment center.	$\boxtimes$		



Northern DeKalb Employment Center Location (check one):  The I-85 / I-285 interchange - Though significantly developed, the strategic interstate crossing and proximity to both Mercer University and the airport indicate this location is currently underleveraged. Investments to expanded transportation options should be considered to enable efficient mobility and facilitate development in this area.  Northlake Mall - Located at I-285 and Lavista Road, the Northlake Mall is an existing employment center that should continue to be supported through this the Strategic Plan and the County's Comprehensive Plan.  Industry Characteristics  Target Area: FPS and Life Sciences  Supporting Industries: Specialty Retail, IT Services, & Educational Services.  West Central DeKalb Employment Center Location (check one):			
□ Intersection of Briarcliff Road North Druid Hills Road - With direct access to I-85, this area contains office and retail space, and is linked to the healthcare engines of the Clifton Corridor. A significant opportunity exists to expand the life sciences cluster. Only a short distance north of Emory and CDC, this currently only houses a strip mall and has potential for further development.  □ Intersection of I-85 and Clairmont Road - Serving as a major			
interstate access for much of the district, this strategically located exit can build off of the success of adjoining Brookhaven. This employment center can build on existing development nearby at Executive Park.		$\boxtimes$	
□ Intersection of N Druid Hills Road and Lavista Road - Offers opportunity for expansion. Currently houses a Georgia Department of Labor career center, restaurants, office space and retail establishments. Existing infrastructure would have to be upgraded to allow for greater density in this area.			
<ul> <li>Industry Characteristics</li> <li>Target Area: FPS, Life Sciences, Tourism</li> <li>Supporting Industries: General Retail, IT Services, Educational Services</li> </ul>			
Southwest DeKalb Employment Center Location (check one):			
☐ <u>I-20/ Candler Road</u> - Currently housing the South DeKalb Mall, this exit is well positioned and has plenty of available infrastructure for redevelopment.			
☐ I-20 / I-285 Interchange: This junction of two interstate highways has large tracts of undeveloped land located nearby.	_	_	
☐ Memorial Drive: The segment of Memorial Drive between Atlanta and Avondale Estates could stand to benefit greatly from redevelopment and currently only houses underperforming or underutilized retail and commercial establishments.			
☐ Moreland Area: Already a substantial commercial logistics and manufacturing corridor, the Moreland area is close to the I-675 / I-285 Interchange and has room for additional growth.			



<ul> <li>Industry Characteristics</li> <li>Target Area: Logistics, CST, Manufacturing</li> <li>Supporting Industries: Specialty Retail, General Retail, Educational Services</li> </ul>		
East Central DeKalb Employment Center Location (check one):  □ Stone Mountain Industrial Park: Located near the intersection of two major roads, this industrial park has a well-established CID and is a strong candidate for further development.  □ Memorial Drive, I-285 Interchange: Despite the presence of the county jail, the importance of this interchange and the proximity of		
a Transit Oriented Development priority MARTA station make this area viable for redevelopment.  Industry Characteristics  Target Area: Tourism, Logistics, CST Supporting Industries: General Retail, Educational Services		
Southeast DeKalb Employment Center Location (check one)  □ I-285/Indian Creek MARTA Station: Found along the border between Districts 4 and 5, this location houses both a MARTA station and open land which make it a potential candidate for further development (Currently a MARTA—only exit).		
□ I-285 / Covington Hwy: This strategic intersection is centrally located in the county and already contains several retail and other commercial developments.  Industry Characteristics  Target Area: Life Sciences, Tourism, Logistics, Manufacturing  Supporting Industries: General Retail, IT Services, Educational Services		
Quality of Place Enhancements		
New Employment Centers and the Comprehensive Plan  ☐ This project will initiate a land use amendment: Public input is an essential to further assisting the viability of the proposed centers. We recommend incorporating the employment centers as part of the comprehensive plan, with neighborhood feedback for any potential zoning changes or proposed development. Likewise, land ownership patterns and other potential conflicts should be vetted to ensure proactive resolution of issues impacting the employment centers.  ☐ The project will provide connectivity for employment centers: As part of the broader strategy, effort should be made to better connect the employment centers. This could be accomplished through improving existing road infrastructure and street connectivity in and around the centers. Additionally, consideration should be given to expanding transit options. While heavy rail expansions may be currently unattainable due to funding, more busing, increased car pool incentives and other measures can reduce traffic and increase commercial activity.  ☐ This project will create Gateways: Another important way to integrate these employment centers in the Comp Plan and develop		
their identity is to encourage the creation of "gateways." These		



gateways should include prominent and effective signage, landscaping, and a name that defines their identity. These gateways not only clearly delineate the geographical boundaries of the employment centers but also help develop a brand and culture around the employment centers and for the county.		
Game Changing / Catalytic Projects  □ Consider a multi-purpose Convention Center facility: Trade and entertainment conventions attracts thousands of visitors who often spend several days patronizing local restaurants, hotels, and other establishments. DeKalb County is large enough to support a multipurpose convention center, and can act a competitive, low cost alternative to the City of Atlanta.  □ Consider a multi-purpose sportsplex facility: Youth and amateur sports are quickly growing sectors of the American Tourism industry. As with the convention center, the international airport and multiple interstate highways make DeKalb a viable candidate to attract sports related events from across the region and the nation. By building a large, dedicated sports complex, DeKalb can capitalize on these advantages to become Georgia's top destination for sports tournaments, training camps, and other events. Although such a project was considered not feasible in the past, another look into it is recommended as conditions for the such project may have changed.		Moreover, such a facility should accommodate local community needs such high school graduations, wedding events and other local events. The county's proximity to the Jackson-Hartsfield Airport provides easy access from anywhere in the world, making DeKalb a viable candidate for major conventions. Implementation of this project could be greatly supported by a tourism mega site designation currently sought by the Development Authority and the Convention and Visitors Bureau.
Infrastructure and Aesthetics		
☐ Utilization of CIDs and TADs to fund infrastructure and beautification projects, especially along South Memorial Drive. ☐ Highway interchange improvements are packaged to accommodate logistics industry in Moreland area.	$\boxtimes$	



•	n Planning Analysis 14 Comprehensive Transportation Plan)					
Policies	Con	npliant	with	Additional comments that justify staff		
		the CT		recommendation		
Functional Classification for the project site:	Yes	No	N/A	Pools Chanal Bood is a twist worth		
□ Freeway □ Major Arterial □ Minor Arterial	$\boxtimes$			Rock Chapel Road is a truck route.		
□Collector □Local						
Freight						
⊠Located on a truck or sanitation route						
☐ Proximity of Landfill or Transfer Station	$\boxtimes$					
⊠Located on a state route						
Located in proximity of rail lines and / or crossings						
Access Management	$\boxtimes$					
Complete Streets Policy						
County / Developer will consider installing bicycle and / or pedestrian facilities, and Transit facilities.			$\boxtimes$			
<b>Design:</b> The following street design guidelines and best						
practices are listed on page 16 in the Appendix document of the			$\boxtimes$			
CTP.						
<b>Application:</b> See page 16 in the Appendix document of the CTP			$\boxtimes$			
Exemptions:						
□Roadway corridor legally prohibits specific users (e.g.						
bicyclists and pedestrians on interstate)  Cost of providing bicycle or pedestrian facilities is excessively			$\boxtimes$			
disproportionate to the need or probable use						
□ Absence of current and future need is documented						
□Roadways not owned or operated by DeKalb County.						
Performance Measures. Success of complete streets include:						
☐Miles of new on-street bicycle routes						
☐Miles of new or reconstructed sidewalks	_	_	_			
□ Percentage completion of bicycle and pedestrian networks as			$\boxtimes$			
envisioned by the latest DeKalb County Comprehensive Transportation Plan						
☐ Increase in pedestrian and bicycle volumes along key corridors						
Human Services Transportation		П	$\boxtimes$			
Bicycle and Pedestrian Level of Service Goals and						
Connectivity						
□LOS B (within an activity center)			$\boxtimes$			
□LOS C (not within an activity center)						
☐ Existing PATH Trail						
Priority Bicycle Network						
□ First Tier Priority Network □ Second Tier Priority Network			$\boxtimes$			
□Existing PATH □Future PATH						
MARTA and TOD						
Bus Routes						
☐Project is on a bus route	_	_	_			
□Project is near a bus route			$\boxtimes$			
□Project is not close to a bus route						
Transit Stations						
□Project is on a transit station site			$\boxtimes$			



□ Project is near a transit station □ Project is not close to a transit station			
Priority Projects for DeKalb County  □ Tier 1 □ Tier 2 □ Tier 3 □ None		$\boxtimes$	If boxes are checked, list the specific projects here:



13089023315 Prepared by Esri

Area: 9.14 square miles

			2000-201
	2000	2010	Annual Rate
Population	2,878	5,302	6.30%
Households	976	1,750	6.01%
Housing Units	1,023	1,896	6.36%
Population by Race		Number	Percen
Total		5,302	100.09
Population Reporting One Race		5,213	98.39
White		432	8.19
Black		4,665	88.00
American Indian		10	0.29
Asian		33	0.60
Pacific Islander		1	0.00
Some Other Race		72	1.40
Population Reporting Two or More Races		89	1.79
Total Hispanic Population		170	3.20
Population by Sex			
Male		2,501	47.29
Female		2,801	52.89
Population by Age			
Total		5,302	100.09
Age 0 - 4		367	6.99
Age 5 - 9		425	8.00
Age 10 - 14		498	9.4
Age 15 - 19		459	8.79
Age 20 - 24		335	6.39
Age 25 - 29		291	5.59
Age 30 - 34		373	7.09
Age 35 - 39		520	9.89
Age 40 - 44		414	7.8
Age 45 - 49		435	8.29
Age 50 - 54		413	7.89
Age 55 - 59		278	5.29
Age 60 - 64		204	3.89
Age 65 - 69		116	2.29
Age 70 - 74		79	1.59
Age 75 - 79		46	0.99
Age 80 - 84		31	0.69
Age 85+		18	0.39
Age 18+		3,701	69.89
		290	5.5

Data Note: Hispanic population can be of any race. Census 2010 medians are computed from reported data distributions. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1. Esri converted Census 2000 data into 2010 geography.

©2019 Esri Page 1 of 4



## 2010 Census Profile

13089023315 Prepared by Esri

Area:	9.14	square	miles
, u ca.	J. I	Square	1111103

Households by Type		
Total	1,750	100.09
Households with 1 Person	326	18.69
Households with 2+ People	1,424	81.49
Family Households	1,340	76.6°
Husband-wife Families	783	44.79
With Own Children	401	22.99
Other Family (No Spouse Present)	557	31.89
With Own Children	332	19.09
Nonfamily Households	84	4.89
All Households with Children	843	48.2
Multigenerational Households	150	8.69
Unmarried Partner Households	129	7.49
Male-female	114	6.5°
Same-sex	15	0.99
Average Household Size	3.01	
Family Households by Size		
Total	1,340	100.00
2 People	387	28.99
3 People	349	26.0°
4 People	308	23.00
5 People	171	12.89
6 People	75	5.60
7+ People	50	3.79
Average Family Size	3.42	
Nonfamily Households by Size		
Total	410	100.09
1 Person	326	79.59
2 People	67	16.39
3 People	12	2.99
4 People	2	0.59
5 People	2	0.59
6 People	0	0.00
7+ People	1	0.29
Average Nonfamily Size	1.27	
Population by Relationship and Household Type		
Total	5,302	100.09
In Households	5,265	99.39
In Family Households	4,743	89.59
Householder	1,340	25.39
Spouse	783	14.89
Child	2,105	39.79
Other relative	359	6.80
Nonrelative	156	2.99
In Nonfamily Households	522	9.89
In Group Quarters	37	0.7
Institutionalized Population	5	0.19
Noninstitutionalized Population	32	0.6

**Data Note: Households with children** include any households with people under age 18, related or not. **Multigenerational households** are families with 3 or more parent-child relationships. **Unmarried partner households** are usually classified as nonfamily households unless there is another member of the household related to the householder. Multigenerational and unmarried partner households are reported only to the tract level. Esri estimated block group data, which is used to estimate polygons or non-standard geography. **Average family size** excludes nonrelatives.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1.

February 15, 2019



13089023315 Prepared by Esri Area: 9.14 square miles

amily Households by Age of Householder		
otal	1,340	100.0
Householder Age 15 - 44	629	46.9
Householder Age 45 - 54	404	30.1
Householder Age 55 - 64	195	14.6
Householder Age 65 - 74	89	6.6
Householder Age 75+	23	1.7
onfamily Households by Age of Householder		100
otal	410	100.0
Householder Age 15 - 44	176	42.
Householder Age 45 - 54	108	26.
Householder Age 55 - 64	77	18.
Householder Age 65 - 74	29	7.
Householder Age 75+	20	4.
ouseholds by Race of Householder		
tal	1,750	100.
Householder is White Alone	198	11.
Householder is Black Alone	1,499	85.
Householder is American Indian Alone	5	0.
Householder is Asian Alone	9	0.
Householder is Pacific Islander Alone	0	0.
Householder is Some Other Race Alone	18	1.
Householder is Two or More Races	21	1.
ouseholds with Hispanic Householder	36	2.
usband-wife Families by Race of Householder		
tal	783	100.
Householder is White Alone	81	10.
Householder is Black Alone	675	86.
Householder is American Indian Alone	3	0.
Householder is Asian Alone	6	0.
Householder is Pacific Islander Alone	0	0.
Householder is Some Other Race Alone	13	1.
Householder is Two or More Races	5	0.
usband-wife Families with Hispanic Householder	24	3.
ther Families (No Spouse) by Race of Householder		
tal	557	100.
Householder is White Alone	29	5.
Householder is Black Alone	516	92.
Householder is American Indian Alone	1	0.
Householder is Asian Alone	1	0.
Householder is Pacific Islander Alone	0	0.
Householder is Some Other Race Alone	3	0.
Householder is Two or More Races	7	1.
ther Families with Hispanic Householder	9	1.
onfamily Households by Race of Householder		
tal	410	100.
Householder is White Alone	88	21.
Householder is Black Alone	308	75.
Householder is American Indian Alone	1	0.
Householder is Asian Alone	2	0.
Householder is Pacific Islander Alone	0	0.
Householder is Some Other Race Alone	2	0.
Householder is Two or More Races	9	2.
onfamily Households with Hispanic Householder	3	0.

February 15, 2019

©2019 Esri Page 3 of 4



13089023315 Prepared by Esri Area: 9.14 square miles

Total Housing Units by Occupancy		
Total	1,896	100.0%
Occupied Housing Units	1,750	92.3%
Vacant Housing Units		
For Rent	6	0.3%
Rented, not Occupied	2	0.19
For Sale Only	56	3.0%
Sold, not Occupied	7	0.49
For Seasonal/Recreational/Occasional Use	7	0.49
For Migrant Workers	0	0.0%
Other Vacant	68	3.6%
Total Vacancy Rate	7.7%	
Households by Tenure and Mortgage Status		
Total	1,750	100.09
Owner Occupied	1,534	87.7%
Owned with a Mortgage/Loan	1,456	83.29
Owned Free and Clear	78	4.59
Average Household Size	2.93	
Renter Occupied	216	12.39
Average Household Size	3.59	
Owner-occupied Housing Units by Race of Householder		
Total	1,534	100.0%
Householder is White Alone	174	11.39
Householder is Black Alone	1,326	86.49
Householder is American Indian Alone	5	0.39
Householder is Asian Alone	4	0.3%
Householder is Pacific Islander Alone	0	0.0%
Householder is Some Other Race Alone	12	0.89
Householder is Two or More Races	13	0.8%
Owner-occupied Housing Units with Hispanic Householder	26	1.7%
Renter-occupied Housing Units by Race of Householder		
Total	216	100.0%
Householder is White Alone	24	11.19
Householder is Black Alone	173	80.1%
Householder is American Indian Alone	0	0.0%
Householder is Asian Alone	5	2.3%
Householder is Pacific Islander Alone	0	0.0%
Householder is Some Other Race Alone	6	2.89
Householder is Two or More Races	8	3.79
Renter-occupied Housing Units with Hispanic Householder	10	4.69
Average Household Size by Race/Hispanic Origin of Householder		
Householder is White Alone	2.19	
Householder is Black Alone	3.10	
Householder is American Indian Alone	2.60	
Householder is Asian Alone	3.11	
Householder is Pacific Islander Alone	0.00	
Householder is Some Other Race Alone	4.44	
Householder is Two or More Races	3.19	
Householder is Hispanic	4.42	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1.



13089023315

Area: 9.14 square miles

Prepared by Esri

Total Households Total Housing Units  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  Total Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt Second mortgage only Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS  Housing units without a mortgage  \$142 Housing units without a mortgage \$142 OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS	969 700 981 434 304 105 23 0 176	100.0% 90.9% 7.3% 1.6% 0.0% 82.0%	527 157 184 153 158 65 22	
Total Households Total Housing Units  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  Total Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt Second mortgage only Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units without a mortgage  \$142 Housing units without a mortgage  \$146  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	700 981 434 304 105 23 0	90.9% 7.3% 1.6% 0.0%	157 184 153 158 65 22	
Total Housing Units  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  Total  Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt Second mortgage only Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS  Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS  Housing units without a mortgage  \$144  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 10.0 to 49.9 percent	981 434 304 105 23 0	90.9% 7.3% 1.6% 0.0%	184 153 158 65 22	
OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS Total  Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt Second mortgage only Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units without a mortgage  \$142 Housing units without a mortgage  \$146  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  8 SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 25.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 35.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 34.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent	434 304 105 23 0	90.9% 7.3% 1.6% 0.0%	153 158 65 22	
Total Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt Second mortgage only Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units with a mortgage  \$140  With a mortgage:  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 to 19.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent	304 105 23 0 176	90.9% 7.3% 1.6% 0.0%	158 65 22	
Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt Second mortgage only Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units with a mortgage  \$142 Housing units without a mortgage  \$146  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent	304 105 23 0 176	90.9% 7.3% 1.6% 0.0%	158 65 22	I
Second mortgage only Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS  Housing units with a mortgage  \$140  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent	105 23 0 176	7.3% 1.6% 0.0%	65 22	
Home equity loan only Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units with a mortgage \$142 Housing units without a mortgage \$146  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total \$1  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent	23 0 176	1.6% 0.0%	22	
Both second mortgage and home equity loan No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units with a mortgage \$140  Housing units without a mortgage \$140  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total \$10  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	0 176	0.0%		
No second mortgage and no home equity loan Housing units without a mortgage  AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units with a mortgage \$140  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total \$10  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	176		n	
AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units with a mortgage \$140 Housing units without a mortgage \$140  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total \$100  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent		82.0%	U	
AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS Housing units with a mortgage \$140 DOWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS Total \$100 With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	130		148	11
Housing units with a mortgage \$142 Housing units without a mortgage \$146  OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total \$1  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent		9.1%	57	Ш
Housing units without a mortgage \$140  WMNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS  SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total :  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent				
With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	185		\$25,658	II
& SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS  Total  With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent			\$91,473	Ш
With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent				
With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent				
household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent	434	100.0%	153	-
Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent  20.0 to 24.9 percent  25.0 to 29.9 percent  30.0 to 34.9 percent  35.0 to 39.9 percent  40.0 to 49.9 percent  50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent				
10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent				
15.0 to 19.9 percent 20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	57	4.0%	35	11
20.0 to 24.9 percent 25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	131	9.1%	63	II
25.0 to 29.9 percent 30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	228	15.9%	97	II
30.0 to 34.9 percent 35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	201	14.0%	90	1
35.0 to 39.9 percent 40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	193	13.5%	80	11
40.0 to 49.9 percent 50.0 percent or more Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	88	6.1%	68	
50.0 percent or more  Not computed  Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent	92	6.4%	62	
Not computed Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	72	5.0%	50	
Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent	242	16.9%	97	11
household income in past 12 months  Less than 10.0 percent  10.0 to 14.9 percent  15.0 to 19.9 percent	0	0.0%	0	
Less than 10.0 percent 10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent				
10.0 to 14.9 percent 15.0 to 19.9 percent	100	7.40/	40	
15.0 to 19.9 percent	102	7.1%	49	
·	12	0.8%	19	
	8	0.6%	12	
	0	0.0%	0	
25.0 to 29.9 percent		0.0%	0	
30.0 to 34.9 percent	0	0.0%	0	
35.0 to 39.9 percent	0	0.0%	0	
40.0 to 49.9 percent	0 0 0	0.6%	14	
50.0 percent or more  Not computed	0	0.0% 0.0%	0	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012-2016 American Community Survey

Reliability: III high ■ medium ■ low



13089023315

Area: 9.14 square miles

Prepared by Esri

	2012-2016			
	ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliabili
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY CONTRACT RE	ENT			
Total	266	100.0%	125	
With cash rent	266	100.0%	125	
Less than \$100	0	0.0%	0	
\$100 to \$149	0	0.0%	0	
\$150 to \$199	0	0.0%	0	
\$200 to \$249	0	0.0%	0	
\$250 to \$299	0	0.0%	0	
\$300 to \$349	0	0.0%	0	
\$350 to \$399	0	0.0%	0	
\$400 to \$449	0	0.0%	0	
\$450 to \$499	0	0.0%	0	
\$500 to \$549	0	0.0%	0	
\$550 to \$599	0	0.0%	0	
\$600 to \$649	0	0.0%	0	
\$650 to \$699	0	0.0%	0	
\$700 to \$749	9	3.4%	15	
\$750 to \$799	33	12.4%	54	
\$800 to \$899	8	3.0%	16	
\$900 to \$999	49	18.4%	51	
\$1,000 to \$1,249	132	49.6%	89	
\$1,250 to \$1,499	35	13.2%	54	
\$1,500 to \$1,999	0	0.0%	0	
\$2,000 to \$2,499	0	0.0%	0	
\$2,500 to \$2,999	0	0.0%	0	
\$3,000 to \$3,499	0	0.0%	0	
\$3,500 or more	0	0.0	0	
No cash rent	0	0.0%	0	
Median Contract Rent	\$1,064		N/A	
Average Contract Rent	\$1,065		\$717	
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY INCLUSION O	NF			
UTILITIES IN RENT	•			
Total	266	100.0%	125	
Pay extra for one or more utilities	257	96.6%	125	
No extra payment for any utilities	9	3.4%	14	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012-2016 American Community Survey

Reliability: III high ■ medium ■ low



13089023315

Area: 9.14 square miles

Prepared by Esri

	2012-2016			
	ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliabili
HOUSING UNITS BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE				
Total	1,981	100.0%	184	
1, detached	1,955	98.7%	183	
1, attached	10	0.5%	17	
2	9	0.5%	15	
3 or 4	0	0.0%	0	
5 to 9	0	0.0%	0	
10 to 19	0	0.0%	0	
20 to 49	0	0.0%	0	
50 or more	0	0.0%	0	
Mobile home	7	0.4%	12	
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0%	0	'
HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT				
Total	1,981	100.0%	184	
Built 2014 or later	0	0.0%	0	'
Built 2010 to 2013	7	0.4%	12	
Built 2000 to 2009	785	39.6%	160	
Built 1990 to 1999	501	25.3%	128	
Built 1980 to 1989	404	20.4%	150	
Built 1970 to 1979	186	9.4%	80	
Built 1960 to 1969	73	3.7%	64	
Built 1950 to 1959	16	0.8%	18	
Built 1940 to 1949	0	0.0%	0	
Built 1939 or earlier	9	0.5%	14	
Median Year Structure Built	1996		N/A	
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED				
INTO UNIT				
Total	1,700	100.0%	157	
Owner occupied				
Moved in 2015 or later	33	1.9%	43	
Moved in 2010 to 2014	234	13.8%	107	
Moved in 2000 to 2009	752	44.2%	165	
Moved in 1990 to 1999	356	20.9%	94	
Moved in 1980 to 1989	26	1.5%	21	
Moved in 1979 or earlier	33	1.9%	22	
Renter occupied				
Moved in 2015 or later	44	2.6%	57	
Moved in 2010 to 2014	167	9.8%	99	
Moved in 2000 to 2009	55	3.2%	53	
Moved in 1990 to 1999	0	0.0%	0	
Moved in 1980 to 1989	0	0.0%	0	
Moved in 1979 or earlier	0	0.0%	0	
			,	
Median Year Householder Moved Into Unit	2005		N/A	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012-2016 American Community Survey

Reliability: III high ■ medium ■ low

©2019 Esri Page 3 of 4



#### **ACS Housing Summary**

13089023315

Area: 9.14 square miles

Prepared by Esri

	2012-2016			
	ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliabilit
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY HOUSE HEATING FUEL				
Total	1,700	100.0%	157	I
Utility gas	1,234	72.6%	162	II.
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	0	0.0%	0	
Electricity	466	27.4%	136	П
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	0	0.0%	0	
Coal or coke	0	0.0%	0	
Wood	0	0.0%	0	
Solar energy	0	0.0%	0	
Other fuel	0	0.0%	0	
No fuel used	0	0.0%	0	
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VEHICLES AVAILABLE				
Total	1,700	100.0%	157	11
Owner occupied				
No vehicle available	5	0.3%	9	
1 vehicle available	436	25.6%	120	I
2 vehicles available	592	34.8%	141	1
3 vehicles available	374	22.0%	111	1
4 vehicles available	27	1.6%	24	
5 or more vehicles available	0	0.0%	0	_
Renter occupied				
No vehicle available	0	0.0%	0	
1 vehicle available	162	9.5%	103	-
2 vehicles available	96	5.6%	79	
3 vehicles available	8	0.5%	16	ī
4 vehicles available	0	0.0%	0	
5 or more vehicles available	0	0.0%	0	
2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	•		, and the second	
Average Number of Vehicles Available	1.9		0.3	П

Data Note: N/A means not available.

**2012-2016 ACS Estimate:** The American Community Survey (ACS) replaces census sample data. Esri is releasing the 2012-2016 ACS estimates, five-year period data collected monthly from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014. Although the ACS includes many of the subjects previously covered by the decennial census sample, there are significant differences between the two surveys including fundamental differences in survey design and residency rules.

Margin of error (MOE): The MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate due to sampling error. MOEs enable the data user to measure the range of uncertainty for each estimate with 90 percent confidence. The range of uncertainty is called the confidence interval, and it is calculated by taking the estimate +/- the MOE. For example, if the ACS reports an estimate of 100 with an MOE of +/- 20, then you can be 90 percent certain the value for the whole population falls between 80 and 120.

**Reliability:** These symbols represent threshold values that Esri has established from the Coefficients of Variation (CV) to designate the usability of the estimates. The CV measures the amount of sampling error relative to the size of the estimate, expressed as a percentage.

- High Reliability: Small CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) are flagged green to indicate that the sampling error is small relative to the estimate and the estimate is reasonably reliable.
- Medium Reliability: Estimates with CVs between 12 and 40 are flagged yellow-use with caution.
- Low Reliability: Large CVs (over 40 percent) are flagged red to indicate that the sampling error is large relative to the estimate. The estimate is considered very unreliable.

**Source:** U.S. Census Bureau, 2012-2016 American Community Survey

Reliability: III high II medium I low

February 15, 2019

©2019 Esri Page 4 of 4



## Demographic and Income Profile

13089023315 Area: 9.14 square miles Prepared by Esri

	Cen	sus 2010		2018		
Population		5,302		5,905		
Households		1,750		1,954		
Families		1,340		1,486		
Average Household Size		3.01		3.01		
Owner Occupied Housing Units		1,534		1,687		
Renter Occupied Housing Units		216		267		
Median Age		33.7		34.7		
Trends: 2018 - 2023 Annual Rate		Area		State		Na
Population		1.19%		1.10%		
Households		1.18%		1.08%		
Families		1.12%		0.99%		
Owner HHs		1.43%		1.52%		
Median Household Income		1.89%		1.68%		
Median Household Income		1.09%	20	1.00%	20	023
Haveahalda by Turama						
Households by Income			Number	Percent	Number	F
<\$15,000			174	8.9%	168	
\$15,000 - \$24,999			116	5.9%	117	
\$25,000 - \$34,999			133	6.8%	127	
\$35,000 - \$49,999			239	12.2%	226	
\$50,000 - \$74,999			435	22.3%	430	
\$75,000 - \$99,999			364	18.6%	389	
\$100,000 - \$149,999			282	14.4%	322	
\$150,000 - \$199,999			110	5.6%	141	
\$200,000+			101	5.2%	152	
Median Household Income			\$65,894		\$72,371	
Average Household Income			\$83,068		\$95,157	
Per Capita Income			\$27,703		\$31,678	
r di dapita indome	Census 20	10		18		023
Population by Age	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	 I
0 - 4	367	6.9%	368	6.2%	395	
5 - 9	425	8.0%	384	6.5%	398	
10 - 14	498	9.4%	400	6.8%	416	
15 - 19	459	8.7%	400	6.8%	377	
20 - 24	335	6.3%	419	7.1%	352	
25 - 34	664	12.5%		17.0%	1,302	
35 - 44	934	17.6%	1,005 782	13.2%	868	
45 - 54	848	16.0%	850	14.4%	762	
55 - 64	482	9.1%	762	12.9%	710	
65 - 74	195	3.7%	382	6.5%	482	
	77	1.5%	124	2.1%	167	
75 - 84			20	0.5%	35	
	18	0.3%	29	0.5 70	20	)23
75 - 84	18 <b>Census 20</b>			)18		
75 - 84					Number	F
75 - 84 85+	Census 20	10	20	18		I
75 - 84 85+ Race and Ethnicity	<b>Census 20</b> Number	10 Percent	20 Number	Percent	Number	
75 - 84 85+ Race and Ethnicity White Alone	Census 20 Number 432	Percent 8.1%	<b>20</b> Number 477	Percent 8.1%	Number 495	F
75 - 84 85+ Race and Ethnicity White Alone Black Alone	<b>Census 20</b> Number 432 4,665	Percent 8.1% 88.0%	Number 477 5,182	Percent 8.1% 87.8%	Number 495 5,486	
75 - 84 85+ Race and Ethnicity White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone	Census 20 Number 432 4,665 10 33	Percent 8.1% 88.0% 0.2% 0.6%	Number 477 5,182 10 48	Percent 8.1% 87.8% 0.2% 0.8%	Number 495 5,486 10 63	
75 - 84 85+  Race and Ethnicity White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone	Census 20 Number 432 4,665 10 33	Percent 8.1% 88.0% 0.2% 0.6% 0.0%	Number 477 5,182 10 48 1	Percent 8.1% 87.8% 0.2% 0.8% 0.0%	Number 495 5,486 10 63 1	
75 - 84 85+  Race and Ethnicity White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone	Census 20 Number 432 4,665 10 33 1	Percent 8.1% 88.0% 0.2% 0.6% 0.0% 1.4%	Number 477 5,182 10 48 1 74	Percent 8.1% 87.8% 0.2% 0.8% 0.0% 1.3%	Number 495 5,486 10 63 1	1
75 - 84 85+  Race and Ethnicity White Alone Black Alone American Indian Alone Asian Alone Pacific Islander Alone	Census 20 Number 432 4,665 10 33	Percent 8.1% 88.0% 0.2% 0.6% 0.0%	Number 477 5,182 10 48 1	Percent 8.1% 87.8% 0.2% 0.8% 0.0%	Number 495 5,486 10 63 1	


February 15, 2019




## Demographic and Income Profile

13089023315 Area: 9.14 square miles Prepared by Esri







#### Population by Age



#### 2018 Household Income



#### 2018 Population by Race



2018 Percent Hispanic Origin: 3.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1. Esri forecasts for 2018 and 2023.

©2019 Esri Page 2 of 2



404.371.2155 (o) 404.371.4556 (f) DeKalbCountyGa gov Clark Harrison Building 330 W. Ponce de Leon Ave Decatur, GA 30030

Chief Executive Officer
Michael Thurmond

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

Director

Andrew A, Baker, AICP

APPLICATION TO AMEND COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE (FUTURE DEVELOPMENT) MAP PLAN

	OF DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA
	Application No.: 135181 Date Received: August 16, 2018
	Applicant's Name SUSE EVANS E-Mail rose . Evans 100 P yahoo Gam
	Applicant's Mailing Address 106 Hollschipe Springs CT, Congas GA 30013
	Applicant's Daytime Phone # 770-256-6088 Fax:
	(If more than one owner, attach information for each owner as Exhibit "A")  Owner's Name: AROLA BOWEN E-Mail
	Owner's Mailing Address 1422 Rock Chapel Rd, Lithonia, GA 30058
	Owner's Daytime Phone # 404-227-6042 Fax:
	Address/Location of Subject Property: 1422 & 1450 Rock Chapel Rd
	District(s): 16 Land Lot(s): 189 Block(s): Parcel(s
	Acreage: 8.525 Commission District(s):
	Current Land Use Designation: K-100 Proposed Land Use Designation: P7-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11
	Current Zoning Classification(s): Residential
	PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING BEFORE SIGNING  I. This application form must be completed in its entirety. In addition, any application that lacks any of the required attachments or payment of the filing fee shall be determined to be incomplete and shall not be accepted.
	II. Disclosure of Campaign Contributions: In accordance with the Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act, O.C.G.A., Chapter 36-67A, the following questions must be answered: Have you, the applicant, made \$250 or more in campaign contributions to a local government official within two years immediately preceding the filling of this application? Yes No
	If the answer is yes, you must file a disclosure report with the governing authority of DeKalb County showing:  1. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made.  2. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made during the two years immediately preceding the filing of this application and the date of each such contribution.
•	The disclosure must be filed within 10 days after the application is first filed and must be submitted to the C.E.O. and the Board of Commissioners, Dekalb County, 1300 Commerce Drive, Decator Ga. 30030.
0	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT  Check one: Owner Agent Date 8/15/18  Expiretion Date/ Seal
	1/2017

DeKalb County Zoning 330 West Ponce De Leon Ave Decatur, GA 30030

CC: Arnold Bowen 3456 E HIGHTOWER TRL CONYERS GA 30012

Letter of Intent: 1422 Rock Chapel Rd 1450 Rock Chapel Rd

#### LAND USE CHANGE

To whom it may concern,

I, Rose Evans (A licensed real estate agent within the state of Georgia) am submitting this Letter of Intent on behalf of the above property(s) owner Mr. Arnold Bowen for land use change.

I am asking for this land use change of these two parcels of land from Residential to Industrial use because there is a growing demand for truck parking in this area. There are over 100 new small trucking businesses within the 5 mile radius who are looking for truck parking.

1422 Rock Chapel Rd (parcel ID 16 18 01 003) is a 5.25 acres with a small one story building that will be use as for office and 1450 Rock Chapel Rd (parcel ID 16 18 01 002) is a 3.9 acres vacant lot. Both properties are owned by Mr. Arnold Bowen. The intent is to change the land use from residential Residential use. These two properties will be used for Parking for a potential trucking company.

1422 Rock Chapel Rd and 1450 Rock Chapel Rd are adjacent properties to each another. The properties are located on the corner to the intersection of Rock Mountain Rd and Rock Chapel Rd (See Exhibit A). This intersection, in which the properties sit on the corner lot, is the cusp of the Lithonia Industrial Zone, where many industrial business (such as Martin Marietta Lithonia Quarry, Quikrette, USA Ready Mix, War Trucking, Blue Circle Aggregates, and WAR Trucking LLC), also, Pittman Construction Company is a Asphalt Plant, Gravel Mix, heavy duty highway equipment and paving company is located directly behind the subject properties at 2250 Stephenson Rd, Lithonia, GA 30058. These properties are within .02 miles of the above listed properties.

If you have any questions about this project you can reach me directly at 770-256-6088.

Sincerely,

Rose Evans

gor Eibens

1422 4 1450 KOCK Maper Kar Lillionia, GA 30058

DEED ROOK 25765 Ps 779
Debro DeBerry
Clerk of Superior Court
DeKalb County, Georgia

#### EXHIBIT "A"

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND LYING AND BEING IN LAND LOT 189 OF THE 16TH DISTRICT OF DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT FORMED BY THE INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTHEASTERN SIDE OF ROCK CHAPEL ROAD WITH THE NORTHERN LAND LOT LINE OF LAND LOT 189 AND RUNNING THENCE NORTH 88 DEGREES, 26 MINUTES EAST ALONG THE NORTHERN LAND LOT LINE OF LAND LOT 189, 570 FEET TO A POINT; RUNNING THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY 428 FEET TO A POINT; RUNNING THENCE NORTH 77 DEGREES, 10 MINUTES WEST 560 FEET TO A POINT LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEASTERN SIDE OF ROCK CHAPEL ROAD; RUNNING THENCE NORTH 27 DEGREES, 24 MINUTES EAST ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERN SIDE OF ROCK CHAPEL ROAD 296 FEET TO AN IRON PIN AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

#### TOGETHER WITH:

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND LYING AND BEING IN LAND LOT 189 OF TEH 16TH DISTRICT OF DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE NORTH LAND LOT LINE OF LAND LOT 189 AND THE SOUTHEAST RIGHT OF WAY OF ROCK CHAPEL ROAD; THENCE NORTH 88 DEGREES, 26 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST 542.67 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING. FROM SAID TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, PROCEED NORTH 88 DEGREES, 26 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST 118.65 FEET TO A 6 INCH SQUARE GRANITE STONE FOUND; THENCE RUNNING SOUTH 24 DEGREES, 42 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST 467.44 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE RUNNING NORTH 77 DEGREES 16 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST 85.32 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE RUNNING NORTH 21 DEGREES 49 MINUTES 55 SECONDS EAST 433.38 FEET TO A POINT.

LESS AND EXCEPT THAT CERTAIN LAND CONVEYED BY RIGHT OF WAY DEEDS RECORDED IN DEKALB COUNTY DEED BOOK/PAGE: 3367/131 AND 9886/311.



## DeKalb County Planning & Development Department



# PRE-APPLICATION REZONES, LAND USE AND SPECIAL LAND USE

(Pre-application required by appointment prior to filing; submit copy at filing)

Property Frontage/Address: 1422 Rock Chapel Ko			
Parcel I.D.: Acreage: 10 ac			
Proposed Development Name: B+M Transport DRI? Yes No			
Existing Development/Use: office			
Proposed Use:   Proposed Density/#Units:   Proposed Density/#Units:   Proposed Zening:   Proposed Zening:			
Existing Zoning: Proposed Zoning:			
Existing Plan Designation: Consistent Inconsistent			
Current Land Use: 50B Proposed Land Use: Ind			
Proposed Access:Overlay District			
SLUP Request: Art. 27:			
Contact Person: Rose Evans Phone: 770 256 6088  Address: 106 Horschie Email: 1050, evans 1880 ye hooze  Harseshoe Springs Ct Conyers 30013			
Address: 106 Horschop Email: 1050, evans 1880 ye have			
Harseshoe Springs Ct. Conyers 30013			
Reviewed Required Submittals/ Schedule/DRI			
Reviewed Zoning Standards/Reference Chapter 14			
Discussed Consistency with Plan and Surrounding Zoning/Uses			
SLUP Requirements/Supplemental Regs			
Process explained to applicant:			
Staff Comments:			
MBE			
Planner Date			

### **RESIDENTS AND NEIGHBORS**

Please join B&M Trucking and Transportation INC on Tuesday, April 17, 2018 at 6pm to discuss the proposed use and rezoning of the property at 1422 & 1450 Rock Chapel Rd, Lithonia GA 30058. We will be displaying our Proposed site plan for the public to view and talking with neighbors about our community and how we can help it grow. The floor will be open to residents for any questions or concerns they may have about the rezoning of this property.

The meeting will be held at Five Star Insurance School Building at 2093 Rock Chapel Rd Lithonia GA 30058 from 6pm to 7pm.

We look forward to seeing you there!

## **SIGN IN SHEET**

APRIL 17, 2018

3.	
NAME (Contal	
Du'alit St	2093 Lackalabel Let Letterna JOSI Rockalabel Let Letterna JOSI Rockalabel Rd Letterna
Kur, ButhER Bu	Her
Alsha Smith	2093 dackalant det heten
Sol South	2021 Rolkelisfel Rd Littion
	V

#### Subject: PRE - COMMUNITY MEETING - REZONING

From: rose.evans100@yahoo.com

To: dennisallen05@comcast.net; kbarksdal22@gmail.com; samsonburrell@yahoo.com; dstcampbel@bellsouth.net; jancostello@gmail.com; Jaycunningham2014@yahoo.com; marshalenglishsr@yahoo.com; mstonya@earthlink.net; mercernic451@gmail.com; konceptatlanta@bellsouth.net; Jonathanjaypee@aol.com; dadrtrip@yahoo.com;

w\_duan\_williams@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, April 2, 2018 10:23:58 PM EDT

Dear Council members, please see attached notice for re-zoning of property located at 4022 and 4050 Rockchapel Rd,

Lithonia, GA 30058. I am proposing a re-zoning change from R100 to M-1. This property is located in a Industrial.

The meeting is set for April 17, 2018 at 6pm at Five Star Insurance Company, 2093 Rockchapel Rd, Lithonia, GA 30058.

If you need reach me, you can email me or call me at 770-256-6088.

Sincerely,

Rose Evans



#### LAND USE AMENDMENT 1422 E1450 Rock Chapel Rd. Lithonia, GA 30058

- 1) This land use proposal will indeed permit a use suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent properties. All the properties nearby to the subject are industrial and are already zoned M1. Such as: Martin Marietta Quarry, Quikrette, USA Ready Mix, War Trucking, Blue Circle Aggregates, and also Pittman Construction Company which is a Asphalt Plant, Gravel Mix and heavy duty highway equipment and paving Company. These companies are located directly behind the subject properties. Theses Companies are within .02 mils of the subject properties. The other neighboring properties are zoned R-100. It appears to be an industrial locale with very few residential occupied properties.
- 2) The adjacent properties will not be adversely affected by this zoning proposal. In fact, this zoning will affix to the neighborhood conformity with the existing use of usability of nearby real estate. The very limited number of residential zoned parcels will be industrial use in the near future to conform to neighborhood.
- 3) There is no foreseen burden impacting existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. The use of the property will conform with the neighborhood along with other properties that are industrial use. Maintaining residential use would be difficult to access the main through way due to excessive traffic from commuters.
- 4) This land use proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan of like properties currently Industrial use surrounding the subject property.
- 5) This land use changes will not adversely impact the environment or surrounding natural resources located on the subject's land nor the surrounding neighborhood. The property will only be utilized as a small commercial trucking company with gravel and asphalt paving.
- 6) This land use proposal will impact the properties adjoining governmental jurisdiction or county boundaries because the subject properties is not located near any governmental jurisdiction nor near any adjoining county boundaries. Therefore there is no limitation that reflect negative impact.
- 7) It is evident based on the current use of the surrounding properties that the area will remain industrial with minimal residential use. We expect the residential properties to also become industrial use in the near future. Therefore, the neighborhood will be commercial, industrial use. Property adjacent to the subject located at: 1226 Rock Chapel Road is currently listed for sale, home with one acre of land. Listing states that property has unlimited possibilities and potential commercial.
- 8) This land use proposal will not adversely affect historic building, sites, districts, or archaeological resources in the immediate area. The majority of historical parcels within this zip code are located in the city of Lithonia, 3-4 miles from the subject. The historical homes in that location are in conformity with the local historical market. There are very few historical properties outside the city limits.

#### ZONING IMPACT ANALYSIS

- A) The zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the comprehensive plan of like properties currently zoned M1, surrounding the subject property.
- B) This zoning proposal will indeed permit a use suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent properties. All the properties nearby to the subject are industrial and are already zoned M1. Such as: Martin Marietta Quarry, Quikrette, USA Ready Mix, War Trucking, Blue Circle Aggregates, and also Pittman Construction Company which is a Asphalt Plant, Gravel Mix and heavy duty highway equipment and paving Company The other neighboring properties are zoned R-100. It appears to be an industrial locale with very few residential occupied properties.
- C) The current zoning of R-100 is not the greatest use. The highest and best use for this property with the greatest economic use is to grant M-1 zoning. There are multiple Industrial businesses within .02 miles of the subject properties.
- D) The adjacent properties will not be adversely affected by this zoning proposal. In fact, this zoning will affix to the neighborhood conformity with the existing use of usability of nearby real estate. The very limited number of residential zoned parcels will be re-zoned in the near future to conform to neighborhood.
- E) It is evident based on the current use of the surrounding properties that the area will remain industrial with minimal residential use. We expect the residential properties to also become industrial zoned in the near future. Therefore, the neighborhood will be commercial, industrial use. Property adjacent to the subject located at: 1226 Rock Chapel Road is currently listed for sale, home with one acre of land. Listing states that property has unlimited possibilities and potential commercial.
- F) This zoning proposal will not adversely affect historic building, sites, districts, or archaeological resources in the immediate area. The majority of historical parcels within this zip code are located in the city of Lithonia, 3-4 miles from the subject. The historical homes in that location are in conformity with the local historical market. There are very few historical properties outside the city limits.
- G) There is no foreseen burden impacting existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. The use of the property will conform with the neighborhood along with other properties that are zoned for industrial use. Maintaining residential use would be difficult to access the main through way due to excessive traffic from commuters.
- H) Zoning changes will not adversely impact the environment or surrounding natural resources located on the subject's land nor the surrounding neighborhood. The property will only be utilized as a commercial trucking company with gravel and asphalt paving.

Clark Harrison Building 330 W. Ponce de Leon Ave Decatur, GA 30030

#### DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

#### **Disclosure of Campaign Contribution**

#### PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING BEFORE SIGNING

ľ.	This application form must be completed in its entirety. In addition, any application that lacks any
	of the required attachments or payment of the filing fee shall be determined to be incomplete and
	shall not be accepted.

11.	Disclosure of Campaign Contributions in accordance with the Conflict of interest in Zoning Act, O.C
	G. A Chapter 36-67A, the following questions must be answered. Have you, the applicant made
	\$250.00 or more in campaign contributions to a local government official within two years
	immediately preceding the filing of this application? Yes No*

If the answer is yes, you must file a disclosure report with the governing authority of DeKalb County showing:

- 1. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made.
- 2. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made during the two years immediately preceding the filing of this application and the date of each such contribution.

The disclosure must be filed within 10 days after the application is first filed and must be submitted to the C.E.O and the Board of Commissions, Dekalb County, 1300 Commerce Drive, Decatur, Ga 30030

Signature of Applicant

Check one: Owne

Notary:

Expiration Date/Seal

\*Notary not needed if answer is "No"



404.371.2155 (o) 404.371.4556 (f) DeKalbCountyGa.gov Clark Harrison Building 330 W. Ponce de Leon Ave Decatur, GA 30030

## DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

LAND USE (FUTURE DEVELOPMENT) MAP AMENDMENT APPLICATION
AUTHORIZATION  Completion of this form is required if the individual making the request is not the owner of the property.  DATE:
CHECK TYPE OF APPLICATION: (X) LAND USE MAP AMENDMENT
() REZONE
() MINOR MODIFICATION
() SPECIAL LAND USE PERMIT
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN: PRIDID BUWED (Name of owner(s))
(Manual Control of Con
being (owner )/(owners) of the property described below or attached hereby delegate authority to
Kobe Evans
(Name of Applicant or Agent Representing Owner)
To file an application on (my)/(our) behalf.
Notary Public 1103 Notary Owner Transle Beru en
Notary Public Owner Owner
Notary Public Owner Owner



404.371.2155 (o) 404.371.4556 (f) DeKalhCountyGa gov

Clark Harrison Building 330 W. Ponce de Leon Ave Decatur, GA 30030

# DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

## **AUTHORIZATION**

/	ner should complete this application with the Cour	form or a similar signed and notarized form if the individual nty is not the property owner.	
Date: /////	7		
TO WHOM IT MA			
(I) (WE)	ARNOld	Bowlers	
		Name of owner(s)	
being (owner) (ov authority to	_7	perty described below or attached hereby delegate	
	_ Rose EVI	MIS	
	Nam	ne of Agent or Representative	
to file an applicat	ion on (my) (our) behalf.		
Musfire B. Notary Public	INE B SALESSION EN STATE OF ST	Meld Biven	A A
Notary Public	COUNTY CHILL	Owner	
Notary Public		Owner	
Notary Public	-	Owner	



404.371.2155 (a) 404.371.4556 (f) DeKalbCounty/Ga gov Clark Harrison Building 330 W. Ponce de Leon Ave Decatur, GA 30030

#### DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

## **DISCLOSURE OF CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION**

4

In accordance with the Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act, OCGA Chapter 36-67A, the following questions <u>must</u> be answered.

Have you, the applicant, made \$250.00 or more in campaign contribution to a local government official within two years immediately preceding the filling of this application?

Yes	No.	<u>v</u>	*

If the answer is yes, you must file a disclosure report with the governing authority of DeKalb County showing:

- The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made.
- The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made during the two years immediately preceding the filing of this application and the date of each such contribution.

The disclosure must be filed with 10 days after the application is first filed and must be supported to the C.E.O. and to the Board of Commissioners of DeKalb County, 1300 Commerce Drive, Deca ur, GA 30030.

Notary

Signature of Applicant /Date

Check one: Owner\_\_\_\_\_ Agent\_\_/

\*Notary seal not needed if answer is "no".

