

## DeKalb County Government

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:					
	2018	8-1913 Version: 1	Name:		
Туре:	Ordi	nance	Status:	Public Hearing	
File created:	3/29	/2018	In control:	Board of Commissioners	
On agenda:	9/11	/2018	Final action:		
Title:	Commission District(s): 4 & 6 Rezone - Arrowhead Investors - Z-18-22138				
Indexes:					
Attachments:	Staf			Item 2018-1913.pdf, 3. 2018 06.26 d Conditions, 6. 2018 09.11 Item 20	
Date	Ver.	Action By	A	ction	Result
9/11/2018	1	Board of Commissioners			
8/28/2018	1	Board of Commissioners			
7/24/2018	1	Board of Commissioners	d	eferred 30 days	Pass
6/26/2018	1	Board of Commissioners	d	eferred 30 days	Pass
5/22/2018	1	Board of Commissioners - Meeting	Zoning d	eferred 30 days	Pass
5/1/2018	1	Planning Commission			
			Substitu	te	
Public Hearin	g: YF	CS 🛛 NO 🗆 🛛 D	epartment:	Planning & Sustainability	
<u>SUBJECT:</u> Commission D	Distric		)epartment:	Planning & Sustainability	
<u>SUBJECT:</u> Commission D	Distric	t(s): 4 & 6	)epartment:	Planning & Sustainability	
SUBJECT: Commission D Rezone - Arrow Petition No.: Proposed Use: Location:	Distric whead	t(s): 4 & 6 Investors - Z-18-22138 <b>Z-18-22138</b> Single-family and attacl 41, 731, 721, 715, 713, 7 Brook Road, Decatur, 7 Scottdale	ned townhom 07, 705, 701, 42 and 739 F	e development the rear of 701, 695, 681, 675, 6 ord Place, Scottdale, and 759 Mi	lton Street,
SUBJECT: Commission D Rezone - Arrow Petition No.: Proposed Use: Location: Parcel No.:	Distric whead : 745, 7	t(s): 4 & 6 Investors - Z-18-22138 <b>Z-18-22138</b> Single-family and attacl 41, 731, 721, 715, 713, 7 Brook Road, Decatur, 7 Scottdale	ned townhom 07, 705, 701, 42 and 739 F	e development the rear of 701, 695, 681, 675, 6	lton Street,

## PURPOSE:

To rezone property from R-75 (Residential Medium Lot-75) to RSM (Residential Small Lot Mix) for a residential development consisting of 44 lots for urban single-family detached homes and 39 attached townhome units at a density of 6.69 units per acre.

<b><u>RECOMMENDATION:</u></b> COMMUNITY COUNCIL:	DENIAL
PLANNING COMMISSION:	FULL CYCLE DEFERRAL
STAFF RECOMMENDATION:	APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS.

**PLANNING STAFF ANALYSIS:** The zoning proposal is consistent with housing policy No. 9 of the 2035 Comprehensive Plan to ". . . provide a variety of housing opportunities and choices to better accommodate the needs of residents." The proposed detached homes along Valley Brook Road will appear two-story in height maintaining consistency of building type with the single-family homes in the larger neighborhood. At 6.69 units per acre, and with the mixture of townhouses and single family detached dwellings, the proposed development provides a transition from the higher-density townhome and multifamily developments to the north and northeast to the adjoining single-family properties to the south. The amount of Open Space exceeds the standards, taking into account the limitation of floodplain acreage. As a result of multiple community meetings, the plans include many amenities for use by the residents of the development, as well as the use by the surrounding community. The School District has indicated in its comments that there is adequate capacity for the number of elementary, middle, and high school students who are projected to live in the development. Therefore, the Department of Planning and Sustainability recommends "Approval with conditions".

**PLANNING COMMISSION VOTE: Full Cycle Deferral, 6-1-0.** L. Osler moved and J. Johnson seconded for a full cycle deferral. P. Womack, Jr. opposed.

**COMMUNITY COUNCIL VOTE/RECOMMENDATION: Denial, 11-0-1.** Council recommended denial due to the following: 1. Overwhelming neighborhood opposition; 2. Applicant has not demonstrated specifically how the criteria for density bonus above four units per acre has been achieved regarding minimum size requirements of proposed pocket parks and enhanced open space; 3. Density is too high and proposal is out of character with surrounding neighborhood; 4. Valley Brook Road cannot handle the increased traffic; and 5. School system impacts have not been assessed.